

### STATISTICAL CHRONOMETRY: ANCHORS AWAY!

S. J. Desch<sup>1</sup>, D. R. Dunlap<sup>2</sup>, C. D. Williams<sup>3</sup> and Z. A. Torrano<sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup>School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University, Tempe AZ ([steve.desch@asu.edu](mailto:steve.desch@asu.edu)). <sup>2</sup>Far Away Projects, San Francisco, CA. <sup>3</sup>Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA. <sup>4</sup>Earth and Planets Laboratory, Carnegie Institution for Science, Washington DC.

**Introduction:** Meteorites record not only *what* happened at the Solar System’s birth, but *when* these events occurred. The story of planet formation relies most fundamentally on constraining the *relative* timing of events in the solar nebula after some time  $t=0$ , taken to be when Ca-rich, Al-rich inclusions (CAIs) formed or, more precisely, when the solar nebula had a particular  $^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}$  ratio. Relative ages are constrained by the commonly measured Al-Mg, Mn-Cr, and Hf-W isotopic systems. Absolute ages like U-corrected Pb-Pb ages are relevant inasmuch as differences between them provide times of events after  $t=0$ . The traditional use of “anchors” to report absolute ages for meteorites introduces imprecision; the proliferation of multiple potential anchors (various achondrites or CAIs) is bewilderingly confusing and ages shift frequently; and the approach obfuscates that the real purpose is to derive relative dates of events in the solar nebula. Instead of anchors, we advocate a “statistical” approach to chronometry.

**Statistical Chronometry:** A single analysis yielding  $(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al})_0$ ,  $(^{53}\text{Mn}/^{55}\text{Mn})_0$ , or  $(^{182}\text{Hf}/^{180}\text{Hf})_0$  in a meteorite could provide the time  $\Delta t_{26}$ ,  $\Delta t_{53}$ , or  $\Delta t_{182}$ , at which that system achieved isotopic closure. We *define*  $t=0$  to be when  $(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}) = 5.23 \times 10^{-5}$  [1], but the Solar System  $(^{53}\text{Mn}/^{55}\text{Mn})_{\text{SS}}$  and  $(^{182}\text{Hf}/^{180}\text{Hf})_{\text{SS}}$  at  $t=0$  are unknown free parameters. To leverage Pb-Pb absolute ages,  $t_{\text{Pb}}$ , we must define the “Pb-Pb” age at  $t=0$ ,  $t_{\text{CAI}}$ , as a third free parameter, to find  $\Delta t_{\text{Pb}} = t_{\text{CAI}} - t_{\text{Pb}}$ . The half life,  $t_{53}$ , of  $^{53}\text{Mn}$  ( $3.7 \pm 0.74$ ,  $2\sigma$ ) is impractically uncertain and is a fourth free parameter. We determine the optimal values of these 4 free parameters by minimizing the discrepancies between  $\Delta t_{26}$ ,  $\Delta t_{53}$ ,  $\Delta t_{182}$  and  $\Delta t_{\text{Pb}}$ , as determined using compiled literature values, for 12 achondrites, including: the quenched angrites D’Orbigny, SAH 99555, and NWA 1670; other angrites LEW 86010, NWA 4590, NWA 4801, Angra dos Reis, and NWA 2999; plus Asuka 881394, NWA 7325, NWA 2976, and NWA 6704. Through a statistical approach we find the **best-fit** values:  $t_{\text{CAI}} = 4568.61 \pm 0.26$  Myr;  $(^{53}\text{Mn}/^{55}\text{Mn})_{\text{SS}} = (8.10 \pm 0.82) \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $(^{182}\text{Hf}/^{180}\text{Hf})_{\text{SS}} = (10.44 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-5}$ , and  $t_{53} = 3.82 \pm 0.27$  Myr. Using these, we show (Figure 1) concordancy between the systems for 10 of the 14 achondrites above, especially D’Orbigny; each of its systems is consistent with  $\Delta t = 5.05 \pm 0.03$  Myr (i.e., age  $4563.56 \pm 0.26$  Myr). The only discordant examples are the plutonic angrites NWA 4590, NWA 4801 and NWA 2999, previously thought disturbed [2], and the ‘carbonaceous achondrite’ NWA 6704 analyzed by [3]. Our 4-parameter model brings 24 ages of 8 achondrites into concordance.

**Implications:** Our approach makes **no** reference to CAIs except the  $^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}$  ratio, yet fixes  $t_{\text{CAI}}$ ,  $(^{53}\text{Mn}/^{55}\text{Mn})_{\text{SS}}$ , and  $(^{182}\text{Hf}/^{180}\text{Hf})_{\text{SS}}$  more precisely than do direct measurements of CAIs. Our results compare favorably with  $(^{53}\text{Mn}/^{55}\text{Mn})_{\text{SS}} = (7 \pm 1) \times 10^{-5}$  [6], and  $(^{182}\text{Hf}/^{180}\text{Hf})_{\text{SS}} = (10.18 \pm 0.43) \times 10^{-5}$  [7], and with  $t_{\text{CAI}} = 4568.2 \pm 0.3$  Myr [4] but not at all with  $4567.3 \pm 0.3$  Myr [5]. We leverage multiple measurements simultaneously, minimizing the effects of analytical uncertainties; uncertainty is dominated by the half-lives of  $^{26}\text{Al}$  ( $0.717 \pm 0.34$  Myr,  $2\sigma$  [8]), and  $^{182}\text{Hf}$  ( $8.9 \pm 0.18$  Myr,  $2\sigma$ ). Typical formation time uncertainties are  $< 0.1$  Myr. Our approach naturally accommodates new data, which would improve the precision without shifting these best-fit values much. Our approach identifies

which systems, chondrites, or laboratories are most discordant. The overall concordancy argues strongly for homogeneity of  $^{26}\text{Al}$ ,  $^{53}\text{Mn}$ , and  $^{182}\text{Hf}$  in the solar nebula.

**References:** [1] Jacobsen, B. et al. 2008. *EPSL* 272:353-364. [2] McKibbin, S. J. et al. 2015. *GCA* 157:13-27. [3] Sanborn, M. et al. 2019. *GCA* 245:577-596. [4] Bouvier, A. and Wadhwa, M. 2010. *Nat. Geo.* 3:637-641. [5] Connelly, J. N. et al. 2012. *Science* 338: 651-655. [6] Tissot, F. L. H. et al. 2017. *GCA* 213:593-617. [7] Kruijjer, T. S. et al. 2014. *EPSL* 403:317-327. [8] Auer, M. et al. 2009. *EPSL* 287:453-462.

**Figure 1:** Thirty-six times of formation after  $t=0$  (when  $^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}=5.23 \times 10^{-5}$ ) for 12 achondrites, using literature measurements of the Hf-W, Mn-Cr, Al-Mg and Pb-Pb systems, and our best-fit parameters for absolute age of CAIs, initial solar nebula  $(^{53}\text{Mn}/^{55}\text{Mn})$  and  $(^{182}\text{Hf}/^{180}\text{Hf})$ , and  $^{53}\text{Mn}$  half life. The excellent concordancy for the 8 least disturbed achondrites demonstrates the advantages of statistical chronometry over anchors, especially CAIs.

