

EVALUATING FIDELITY OF EMPIRICAL ENERGY RELATIONS FOR DETERMINING BOLIDE ENERGY DEPOSITION AND IMPLICATIONS FOR IMPACT HAZARD.

E. A. Silber¹, M. Moreno-Ibáñez², M. Gritsevich^{3,4}, J. M. Trigo-Rodríguez^{5,6} and R. E. Silber⁷

¹Department of Earth, Environmental and Planetary Science, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA, 06912 (e-mail: elizabeth_silber@brown.edu), ²Aistech Space S.L., Rua das Pontes 3, 36350, Nigrán, Galicia, Spain (manuel.more-noibanez@gmail.com), ³Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Gustaf Hällströmin katu 2a, P.O. Box 64, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland (maria.gritsevich@helsinki.fi), ⁴Institute of Physics and Technology, Ural Federal University, Mira str. 19. 620002 Ekaterinburg, Russia, ⁵Institute of Space Sciences (ICE, CSIC), Meteorites, Minor Bodies and Planetary Science Group, Campus UAB, Carrer de Can Magrans, s/n E-08193 Cerdanyola del Vallés, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain (trigo@ice.csic.es), ⁶Institut d'Estudis Espacials de Catalunya (IEEC), C/ Gran Capitá, 2-4, Ed. Nexus, desp. 201, E-08034 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain, ⁷Department of Geology and Geophysics, Yale University, New Haven, USA, 06511 (reynold.silber@yale.edu).

Introduction: Meteoroids in the size range of up to several tens of meters in diameter are one of the least constrained populations in the Near-Earth environment. This is because these objects are not abundant enough, and too small to be readily detected via optical means. However, meteoroids in this size range may present a significant hazard if they impact the atmosphere [1], as exemplified by the spectacular breakup of the Chelyabinsk bolide over Russia in 2013 [2]. These objects deposit tremendous amounts of energy during their passage through the atmosphere and during the catastrophic breakup, also known as airburst [3]. Therefore, it is important to study energy deposition and destructive potential of these objects. The estimates of energy deposition of bolides is typically obtained through observations of light emissions (e.g. from space [4] and ground), and from infrasound (low frequency acoustic wave) records. Infrasound is a product of shock waves generated by meteoroids as they interact with the atmosphere [3,5,6]. Most empirical energy relations relying on infrasound records were originally developed for estimating yield of nuclear and chemical explosions, and later adopted for bolide energy estimates [6-7]. However, the recurring issue is that various relations produce very different results, making accurate predictions of energy deposition difficult. We evaluate the fidelity and consistency of various energy relations based on infrasound records, with the goal to better understand how these vary and what the implications are for our current knowledge of bolide properties.

Methods: In our systematic and detailed study, we use well-documented and well-characterized published bolide records, and apply various energy relations developed over the years [7] to compare and evaluate the outcomes, and establish the implications for impact hazard. We perform additional analyses to quantify the potential damage, which will be presented in more detail through a future publication. We also compare our results to energy deposition estimates determined through space based sensor data to better constrain the validity of various energy relations and evaluate the applicability to observations where only infrasound records might be available.

Summary: When a large extra-terrestrial object enters the Earth's atmosphere at hypervelocity, it produces a shock wave. The shock induced phenomena can cause damage on the ground to both life and infrastructure. Oftentimes, infrasound observations of bolides might be the only means of detections (e.g. daylight events and events over remote regions) [7,8], and determining the object properties (such as size) might be obtainable only through such records. However, applying various empirical energy relations might lead to contradicting results, making it difficult to constrain bolide properties and accurately evaluate energy deposition. Thus far, to the best of our knowledge, no study has compared all known energy relations in the context of bolide class impacts in order to better constrain how these relations might affect our present knowledge of energy estimates and impact hazard.

Acknowledgements: This work was supported, in part, by the ERC Advanced Grant No. 320773, and the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, project nos. 18-08-00074 and 19-05-00028. JMT-R thanks the MEC for AYA2015-67175-P research grant. Research at the Ural Federal University is supported by the Act 211 of the Government of the Russian Federation, agreement No 02.A03.21.0006.

References: [1] Gritsevich M.I. et al. (2012) *Cosmic Research*, 50(1), 56–64. [2] Brown P. G. et al. (2013) *Nature*, 503, 238–241. [3] Silber E. A. et al. (2018) *Adv. Sp. Res.*, 62, 489–532. [4] Bouquet A. et al. (2014) *Planet. and Space Sci.*, 103, 238–249. [5] Moreno-Ibáñez M. et al. (2018) *The Astrophysical Journal* 863(2), 174. [6] Tapia M. and Trigo-Rodríguez J.M. (2017) In *Assessment and Mitigation of Asteroid Impact Hazards*, Trigo-Rodríguez J. M., Gritsevich M., Palme H., Eds., Astrophysics and Space Science Proceedings, 46. Springer, Switzerland, 199 p. [7] Silber E. A. and Brown P. G. (2019) in *Infrasound Monitoring for Atmospheric Studies*, Ch 31, 939–986. [8] Silber E. A. (2011) *GRL*, 38, L12201.