

**THE “BRECCIA TOWERS” AT THE SANTA FE IMPACT STRUCTURE, NEW MEXICO, USA –
PRIMARY IMPACT/TECTONIC BRECCIA VERSUS COLLUVIAL/ALLUVIAL DEPOSITS?**

E. Buchner^{1,2} and M. Schmieder³. ¹HNU – Neu-Ulm University of Applied Sciences, Wylestraße 1, 89231 Neu-Ulm, Germany, E-mail: elmar.buchner@hs-neu-ulm.de, ²Institut für Mineralogie und Kristallchemie, Universität Stuttgart, Azenberstraße 18, 70174 Stuttgart, ³LPI – USRA, 3600 Bay Area Blvd, Houston TX 77058, USA.

Introduction: The ~6–13 km Santa Fe impact structure, ~8 km northeast of the city of Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA, was first described in 2008 [1]. Distinct shatter cones in Paleoproterozoic crystalline rocks are exposed over an area of 5 km². The presence of unshocked Paleozoic (Mississippian–Pennsylvanian) limestones overlying those Proterozoic crystalline rocks and breccias suggests the impact probably occurred before ~340 Ma [2,3]. At least three different types of breccias, some exposed in so called “breccia towers” alongside Highway 475, have been reported [2,3]. The breccia towers are interpreted as the remnants of impact and/or fault breccias by [2], who proposed that the breccias may not represent original impact breccias but could possibly be related to faults resulting from the impact event.

Samples and Sample Locality: A field trip to the impact structure was undertaken during the 80th MetSoc Conference in Santa Fe in July 2017. The rock samples investigated in this study were collected from breccia tower #2 [2] (35°43'40"N/105°52'25"W) at a height of ~2 m above the base level of this breccia outcrop. The reddish samples were taken from a comparatively fine-grained section of the deposits that contain clasts of fine sand to fine pebbles in grain size. The clast portion mainly consists of quartz and feldspar grains, granitoid and gneiss fragments, and relatively coarse-crystalline, sparitic carbonate rock clasts. The rounding of clasts ranges from angular via moderately rounded to well rounded. Shocked quartz with a maximum of three distinct sets of PDFs is abundant, and occurs as angular, sub-rounded, and well rounded grains. The matrix of the breccia tower deposits contains microscopic shards of quartz and feldspar, as well as mica, clay minerals, and secondary carbonate.

Sedimentologic Observations: Several macro- and microscopic features can be observed in breccia tower #2 on an outcrop scale. Macroscopic features include: Moderate to well rounding of pebbles, cobbles, and boulders in layers; moderate sorting of clasts and indistinct bedding; imbrication of clasts in conglomeratic layers. The rock fabric is matrix-supported in the breccia-like domains, and is clast-supported in the conglomeratic layers. The microscopic features include: Quartz and feldspar occur as angular, subrounded, and well-rounded grains; in sandstone interlayers, most quartz and feldspar grains are well or even perfectly rounded; most carbonate grains are well rounded; in some sections, sandstone exhibits distinct layering and sorting; coarser-grained sections are matrix- to grain-supported; finer-grained sections are typically matrix-supported.

Discussion and Results: Coarse-grained (pebbles, cobbles, and boulders), matrix-supported sections with angular to subrounded clasts are seemingly devoid of characteristic sedimentologic features typical for deposits transported by running water and were, thus, interpreted as primary impact or tectonic colluvial breccias [2]. This may be in analogy to the matrix-supported “block-in-matrix colluvium” of [4]. However, several transitions in rock fabric within the breccia tower deposits towards finer-grained and matrix-supported conglomeratic layers provide characteristic sedimentologic evidence for deposition in a regime dominated by water flow processes. The breccia towers are, thus, interpreted as the erosional remnants of colluvial–alluvial fans. The clasts of the colluvial–alluvial deposits include various types of breccia, interpreted as impact and/or fault breccia, and possibly even of impact-breccias-within-fault-breccias [2]. Abundant shocked quartz grains in the samples prove that a larger portion of the clast population was derived from local impact ejecta and/or shocked target rocks. Angular shocked quartz grains likely stem from a provenance area close to the deposition site, whereas well-rounded shocked quartz grains indicate the reworking of material from a more distal source area, fluvial transport [5], and deposition in the fans.

Abundant rounded limestone particles in our samples could be derived from either Mississippian (Arroyo Peñasco Gp.) and Pennsylvanian (Sandia and Madera Fm.) marine limestones (e.g., as exposed along “Nun’s curve”), or from minor occurrences of Neogene carbonates (e.g., Miocene Nambé Member, Santa Fe Gp.) [6–8]. Thus, the colluvial/alluvial fans may have been deposited long after the Santa Fe impact event, and could be related to the Rio Grande rifting that has been active for the last ~26 Myr. Nevertheless, larger portions of impact and fault breccias were preserved at the impact site until the time of fan deposition, evidenced by reworked breccias (from inside and/or outside the original impact crater) that occur frequently as clasts in the colluvial–alluvial fan deposits.

References: [1] Fackelman S. P. et al. (2008) *EPSL* 270:290–299. [2] Wright S. and Cavosie A. (2017) *Field Guide to 80th MetSoc Conf., Santa Fe*, 23 pp. [3] Wright S.P. et al. (2010) Abstract #1286. *41st Lunar Planet. Sci. Conf.* [4] Pan Y.W. et al. (2008) Abstract #0851. *42nd US Rock Mech. Symp. and 2nd U.S.-Canada Rock Mech. Symp., San Francisco*. [5] Buchner E. and Schmieder M. (2009) *MAPS* 44:1051–1060. [6] Galusha T. and Blick J. C. (1971) *Bull. AMNH* 144, 132 pp. [7] Read A. et al. (2000) *Geologic Map of the Santa Fe 7.5-minute quadrangle*. [8] Bauer, P. et al. (1996) *Geologic map of the McClure Reservoir quadrangle, Santa Fe County, New Mexico*.