

MEAN ATOMIC WEIGHT OF STUBENBERG METEORITE. M. Szurgot, Lodz University of Technology, Center of Mathematics and Physics, Al. Politechniki 11, 90 924 Lodz, Poland, (mszurgot@p.lodz.pl).

Introduction: Stubenberg meteorite is a very interesting LL6 chondrite, which fell on March 6th, 2016 in Germany [1]. Mineralogical, chemical, and some physical characteristics of this new meteorite have been recently reported [1]. It was established that Stubenberg is a fragmental breccia, weakly shocked (S3) [1]. The aim of the paper was to determine and analyze mean atomic weight and mean atomic number of the Stubenberg meteorite, and to predict grain density of the chondrite. Mean atomic weight and mean atomic number, bulk and grain densities are important properties to characterize minerals, rocks, planets, moons and asteroids, and are important to classify meteorites, and to characterize meteorite parent bodies [2-11]. The bulk composition of Stubenberg, and mineral composition determined by Bischoff and co-workers [1] was applied in calculations.

Results and discussion: Bulk composition of the meteorite has been used to calculate mean atomic weight A_{mean} and mean atomic number Z_{mean} using the following formulas:

$$A_{mean} = \sum w_i / \sum (w_i / A_i), \tag{1}$$

$$Z_{mean} = \sum w_i / \sum (w_i / Z_i), \tag{2}$$

where w_i (wt%) is the mass fraction of i th element, and i th oxide, A_i is atomic weight of i th element, and Z_i is atomic number of i th element. Apart from elements and oxides, which concentration (w_i) was determined by Bischoff and co-workers [1] by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES), and inductively coupled plasma sector field mass spectrometry (ICP-SFMS), concentration of certain elements such as: Si, S, C, and O was added in calculations, assuming that Stubenberg meteorite contains the mean abundance of Si (19.01 wt%), S (2.05 wt%), C (0.07 wt%), and O (37.38 wt%) as the other LL6 chondrites falls, according to Jarosewich's data [12].

Apart from the bulk composition data, also Fe/Si ratio, grain density d_{grain} , and magnetic susceptibility χ were used to predict A_{mean} values by $A_{mean}(Fe/Si)$, $A_{mean}(d_{grain})$, and $A_{mean}(\log \chi)$ relationships, recently established by Szurgot (e.g. [2-6, 9-10]):

$$A_{mean}(Fe/Si) = 5.72 \cdot Fe/Si + 20.25, \tag{3}$$

$$A_{mean}(d_{grain}) = 7.51 \cdot d_{grain} - 2.74, \tag{4}$$

$$A_{mean}(\log \chi) = 1.49 \cdot \log \chi + 16.6. \tag{5}$$

$$A_{mean}(Fe/Si, d, \chi) = [A_{mean}(Fe/Si) + A_{mean}(d_{grain}) + A_{mean}(\log \chi)] / 3. \tag{6}$$

Table 1 compiles values of A_{mean} , Z_{mean} and A_{mean}/Z_{mean} ratios calculated for bulk composition of Stubenberg, Ensisheim, Chelyabinsk, Olivenza, Siena, Hautes Fagnes, NWA 7915, Sołtmany, and

Braunschweig chondrites. Data concern falls and one find (NWA 7915), and the bulk composition of meteorites does not include H_2O .

Table 1 Mean atomic weight A_{mean} , mean atomic number Z_{mean} , A_{mean}/Z_{mean} ratio, and Fe/Si atomic ratio of Stubenberg, Ensisheim [6], Chelyabinsk [9], Olivenza, Siena [10], Hautes Fagnes [10], NWA 7915 [10], Sołtmany [2], and Braunschweig [7] chondrites.

Meteorite (class)	A	Z	A/Z	Fe/Si
Stubenberg (LL6 S3)	23.65	11.70	2.021	0.551
Ensisheim (LL6 S4)	23.32	11.51	2.026	0.509
Chelyabinsk				
Light lith.	23.47	11.58	2.027	0.545
Dark lith.	23.63	11.66	2.027	0.571
Mean* (LL5 S4)	23.52	11.61	2.026	0.553
Olivenza (LL5 S3)	23.29	11.50	2.025	0.493
Siena (LL5)	24.47	12.10	2.022	0.734
Hautes Fagnes (LL5 S1)	23.11	11.44	2.020	0.529
NWA 7915 (LL5 S2)	22.80	11.29	2.019	0.529
Sołtmany (L6 S2)	23.97	11.85	2.022	0.588
Braunschweig (L6 S4)	23.68	11.72	2.021	0.587

*2/3 light lithology + 1/3 dark lithology.

Table 2 A_{mean} values of Stubenberg, determined by bulk composition (eq.(1)), minerals composition (eq.(1)), and by relationships (eqs (3) - (6)).

Bulk	Minerals	Fe/Si	d _{grain}	χ	Fe/Si, d, χ
23.65	23.33	23.40*	23.70**	22.09 [#]	23.06

*For Stubenberg $Fe/Si = 0.551$, **for $d_{grain} = 3.52 \text{ g/cm}^3$ predicted by eq. (8). [#]For $\log \chi = 3.683 \pm 0.015$ [1].

Tables 1 and 2 show that Stubenberg $A_{mean}(\text{Bulk composition}) = 23.65$ is close to the mean atomic weight of Braunschweig (23.68), and to Chelyabinsk A_{mean} (23.47 for light lithology, and 23.63 for dark

lithology), and Stutenberg *Fe/Si* atomic ratio (0.55) is close to Chelyabinsk *Fe/Si* atomic ratio (0.55).

In addition, Stutenberg *Amean/Zmean* ratio (2.021) and Braunschweig *Amean/Zmean* ratio (2.021) are identical, and Stutenberg *Zmean* (11.7), Braunschweig *Zmean* (11.7), and Chelyabinsk *Zmean* (11.6) are very close to each other.

Table 2 reveals that *Fe/Si* atomic ratio and grain density satisfactorily predict *Amean* values for Stutenberg, and magnetic susceptibility leads to too low value of *Amean*. Arithmetic mean $Amean(Fe/Si, d, \chi) = 23.06 \pm 0.86$ confirms that Stutenberg is one of LL chondrites ($AmeanLL = 22.90$ [4]), but *Amean* value determined by bulk composition (23.65) indicates rather L/LL intermediate group ($AmeanL/LL = 23.34 \pm 0.19$ [4]), or even L group ($AmeanL = 23.67$ [4]), and *Fe/Si* ratio (0.55) indicates L/LL group ($Fe/SiL/LL = 0.54 \pm 0.03$ [4]).

Second column in Table 2 presents the Stutenberg mean atomic weight *Amean(Minerals)* calculated by minerals composition (eq. (1)), and *wi*(wt%) is here the mass fraction of *ith* mineral, and *Ai* is atomic weight of *ith* mineral. Using the same modal composition for Stutenberg as that for Ensisheim chondrite [13], and mean atomic weights of main minerals of the Stutenberg: olivine $Fa_{31.4}Fo_{68.6}$ [1] ($w_{OL} = 0.5332$, $A_{OL} = 22.928$), low Ca pyroxene $En_{72.6}Fs_{25.4}Wo_2$ [1] ($w_{Opx} = 0.1947$, $A_{Opx} = 21.743$), high Ca pyroxene $En_{47.4}Fs_{11.2}Wo_{41.4}$ [1] ($w_{Cpx} = 0.0632$, $A_{Cpx} = 22.091$), plagioclase $Ab_{83.4}An_{11.1}Or_{5.5}$ [1] ($w_{PL} = 0.1027$, $A_{PL} = 20.397$), troilite ($w_{tr} = 0.0599$, $A_{tr} = 43.954$), Fe,Ni metal (kamacite $Fe_{90}Ni_{3.9}Co_{6.1}$ [1], and taenite $Fe_{53.68}Ni_{44.39}Co_{1.8}Cu_{0.22}$ [1]) ($w_{Me} = 0.024$, $A_{Me} = 56.636$) leads to $Amean(Minerals) = 23.33$. *Amean's* values of Stutenberg minerals: *AOL*, *AOPx*, *ACpx*, *WPL*, *Atr*, and *AMe* were calculated by eq. (1), using the mineral composition established by Bischoff and co-workers [1].

Bulk density of the Stutenberg chondrite was measured by Bischoff et al. ($dbulk = 3.40 \text{ g/cm}^3$) [1]. Grain density has not been determined so far. To predict grain density *dgrain* of the Stutenberg chondrite relationships discovered by Szurgot [2, 3, 9-11] have been used.

First relationship is between density *dgrain* and *Fe/Si* atomic ratio. It is given by the equation [11]:

$$d_{grain}(Fe/Si) = 0.765 \cdot Fe/Si + 3.11. \quad (7)$$

Substituting $Fe/Si = 0.551$ into eq. (7) gives $d = 3.53 \pm 0.07 \text{ g/cm}^3$ for grain density of Stutenberg meteorite.

Apart from the *Fe/Si* ratio, also mean atomic weight *Amean* enables one to predict grain density for ordinary chondrites. The relationship between grain density

and mean atomic weight *dgrain(Amean)* is expressed by the equation [2, 3]:

$$d_{grain}(Amean) = 0.133 \cdot Amean + 0.37. \quad (8)$$

Substituting $Amean = 23.65$ into eq. (8) gives $d_{grain} = 3.52 \pm 0.07 \text{ g/cm}^3$ for grain density of Stutenberg meteorite.

Third prediction of *dgrain* is based on the modal composition of the meteorite, and grain densities of constituent minerals. It is given by the equation:

$$d_{grain}(minerals) = \sum wi / \sum (wi/di), \quad (9)$$

where *wi*(wt%) is the mass fraction of *ith* mineral, and *di* is grain density of *ith* mineral.

Using the same values of *wi*(wt%) for Stutenberg minerals, as previously for *Amean(minerals)* determination, and grain densities: 3.58 g/cm^3 for olivine, 3.2 g/cm^3 for both pyroxenes, 2.7 g/cm^3 for plagioclase, 4.74 g/cm^3 for troilite, and 7.9 g/cm^3 for Fe, Ni metal (kamacite and taenite) leads to grain density of Stutenberg $d_{grain}(minerals) = 3.49 \text{ g/cm}^3$ (Table 3).

Table 3 Grain density $d(\text{g/cm}^3)$ of Stutenberg meteorite, determined by relationships expressed by eqs. (7) - (9).

<i>d(Fe/Si)</i>	<i>d(A)</i>	<i>dminerals</i>	<i>dmean</i>
3.53	3.52	3.49	3.51

Grain density values predicted for Stutenberg meteorite: 3.49, 3.52, 3.53, and mean density: $3.51 \pm 0.02 \text{ g/cm}^3$ are reasonable since they are close to the mean value for grain density of LL falls (3.52 g/cm^3 [14]).

Conclusions: Mean atomic weight of Stutenberg LL6 chondrite is close to the mean atomic weight of Chelyabinsk LL5 chondrite, and is close to *Amean* of Braunschweig L6 chondrite. Stutenberg *Fe/Si* ratio is close to the Chelyabinsk *Fe/Si* ratio. Predicted grain density for Stutenberg meteorite is close to the mean grain density of LL falls.

References: [1] Bischoff A. et al. (2017) *Meteoritics & Planet. Sci.*, 52, 1683-1703. [2] Szurgot M. (2015) *Acta Societ. Meteor. Polon.*, 6, 107-128. [3] Szurgot M. (2015) *LPSC XLVI*, Abstract #1536. [4] Szurgot M. (2016) *LPSC XLVII*, Abstract #2180. [5] Szurgot M. (2017) *LPS XLVIII*, Abstract #1130. [6] Szurgot M. (2017) *Acta Societ. Meteor. Polon.* 8, 110-122. [7] Szurgot M., Wach R. A., Bartoschewitz R. (2017) *Meteoritics & Planet. Sci.*, 52 *Suppl. S1*, #6002.pdf. [8] Szurgot M. (2016) *Meteoritics & Planet. Sci.*, 51 *Suppl. S1*, #6021.pdf. [9] Szurgot M. (2015) *Meteoritics & Planet. Sci.* 50 *Suppl. S1*, #5008.pdf. [10] Szurgot M. (2016) *Acta Societ. Meteor. Polon.*, 7, 133-143. [11] Szurgot M. (2017) *Meteoritics & Planet. Sci.*, 52 *Suppl. S1*, #6008.pdf. [12] Jarosewich E. (1990) *Meteoritics*, 35, 323-337. [13] McSween H. Y. et al. (1991) *Icarus*, 90, 107-116. [14] Macke R. J. (2010) *PhD Thesis*, Univ. Central Florida, Orlando.