

## ON MODELLING THE GENERATION AND PROPAGATION OF SEISMIC AND VOLCANIC INFRASOUND ON VENUS. Léo Martire<sup>1</sup>, Leah Sabbeth<sup>1</sup>, John Wilding<sup>2</sup>, Siddharth Krishnamoorthy<sup>1</sup>, Jennifer M. Jackson<sup>2</sup>, James A. Cutts<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA ([leo.martire@jpl.nasa.gov](mailto:leo.martire@jpl.nasa.gov)),

<sup>2</sup>Seismological Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA.

**Venus is Potentially Active:** Global mapping from the Magellan mission [1] indicates that the surface of Venus appears relatively young. This youthfulness is evidenced by the current distribution of craters [2], indicating a potential volcanic resurfacing scenario [3]. Furthermore, the surface of Venus clearly features signatures of different types of geophysical events. For example, Tesserae exhibit tectonically-deformed terrain (ridges, fractures, and rifts) [4]. Volcanic edifices (coronae, domes, and shields) and lava flows are also prominent [5, 6]. Such clear and relatively recent markers of geologic activity suggest geophysical forces are still active today. Surface topography changes induced by these effects may be detected by radar sensors on the recently approved VERITAS and EnVision missions. However, recording seismic disturbances – critical to open a window onto our sister planet’s interior and therefore to further pursue comparative planetary science – remains beyond the capabilities of these approved missions.

**Acoustic Waves:** Owing to mechanical coupling between planetary interiors and their atmospheres, geophysical events produce low-frequency atmospheric perturbations known as infrasound. Such infrasonic waves propagate upward and may be recorded by *in-situ* balloon-borne barometers or suitably-equipped orbital platforms. The recent selection of three missions to Venus has further intensified the scientific interest in the second planet, calling for a better understanding of the type of acoustic signals future *in-situ* missions might record. A catalogue of simulated infrasound signals on Venus is therefore essential to determine signal detectability and characterisation, and to contextualise measurements obtained from future missions.

**Modelling Software:** In order to model the solid-atmosphere system, we rely on the SPECFEM2D-DG software package [7, 8]. Resolving the coupled systems of elastodynamics equations for the sub-surface and Navier-Stokes equations for the atmosphere, this software has already proven to model terrestrial [9, 10] and Martian data sets [11] accurately. However, modelling the propagation of infrasound in Venusian conditions presents additional challenges that need to be addressed. Some of these challenges are listed below.

**Geophysical Sources:** First and foremost, a detailed description of the potential sources has yet to be determined. In this study, we focus on venusquakes and volcanic events. Among several fault types on Venus, wrinkle ridges (WRs) are globally distributed (65000 geographically correlated with the geoid), long (2–1400 km), low-amplitude compressive anticlines with orientations consistent over thousands of kilometres [12]. By limiting each WR to a reasonable faulting depth (10–30 km) and segmenting them [13, 14, 15], their moment release can be estimated using a scaling relationship [16].

Based on these arguments, the current distribution of WRs would have required at least  $7.5 \times 10^6$  quakes with  $M_w \geq 4$  since the formation of the geoid [15]. This is a conservative estimate since activity may not be constant, segmentation patterns may vary, and many faults may be missed when mapped at the global scale. Volcanotectonic features are also globally distributed across the Venusian surface, including approximately 100 calderas ranging from 60 to 80 km in diameter and characterised by networks of concentric fractures along their periphery [6]. For such structures, we take an approach based on terrestrial analogues, which are known to generate seismic perturbations up to  $M_w \simeq 5$  [17, 18] as well as infrasound [19]. The collapse of the caldera blocks along the bounding ring faults [20] usually occurs in sequences of tens of repeating events which can persist for up to 160 days [17, 18], with a recurrence period as low as 50 years for Kīlauea [21]. Precise physical models of the seismoacoustic signals generated by caldera collapses have been developed using data from dense seismic arrays [22, 23, 19, 24], and could be used to model similar events on Venus.

**Supercritical Lower Atmosphere:** Thermodynamically, the lower atmosphere of Venus is in a supercritical state. Under those conditions, it is important to verify the domain of validity of the Navier-Stokes equations and to check that the input atmospheric models account for them. Moreover, atmospheric density near the surface of Venus is extremely high. In computational fluid dynamics, such fluids are intrinsically less stable and usually require adjusting the simulations’ parameterisation. As a result however, the seismic-to-acoustic coupling is enhanced compared to terrestrial conditions, benefiting from the weaker impedance contrast.

**Acoustic Attenuation:** Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), which contributes more than 96 % of Venus’ atmosphere, possesses molecular vibrational relaxation modes. These modes induce especially severe attenuation on acoustic waves in frequency bands near the natural frequency of the vibrational modes, much stronger than classical thermo-viscous dissipation effects. A few vibrational attenuation models exist [25, 26], but are generally not validated against measurements [27]. Moreover, attenuation in supercritical fluids remains poorly documented. Extreme pressures and temperatures have generally counteracting effects on the molecular degrees of freedom, rendering the study of attenuation under those conditions highly non-trivial. Finally, waves travelling in the Venusian clouds suffer additional attenuation due to liquid vapour droplets, which induce diffusion effects and absorb part of the energy contained within the waves.

**Methods, Results, and Outlook** We present the current state of our modelling capabilities and limitations in the way of accurately simulating the propagation of seismic and vol-

canic infrasound on Venus. We focus on determining candidate source events, ensuring the selected approach is applicable to the Venus atmosphere, and investigating the effects of attenuation in thermodynamically challenging conditions. Our methods will rely on previous literature and analytical developments, and will be illustrated by sample simulations. This study is part of an effort aimed at investigating the feasibility of aerial seismology [28, 29, 30], and these elements will help establish a clear view of the steps forward in terms of simulation capabilities.

**Acknowledgements:** Copyright 2022, California Institute of Technology, all rights reserved. Government sponsorship is acknowledged. We warmly thank Baptiste Chide and Andi Petculescu for fruitful discussions on acoustic attenuation.

**References:** [1] Saunders et al. “Magellan mission summary”. In: *Journal of Geophysical Research* 97.E8 (1992). DOI: [10.1029/92JE01397](https://doi.org/10.1029/92JE01397). [2] McKinnon et al. “Cratering on Venus: Models and Observations”. In: *Venus II: Geology, Geophysics, Atmosphere, and Solar Wind Environment*. 1997. [3] Phillips et al. “Impact craters and Venus resurfacing history”. In: *Journal of Geophysical Research* 97.E10 (1992). DOI: [10.1029/92JE01696](https://doi.org/10.1029/92JE01696). [4] Bethell et al. “Geology of the Alpha Regio (V-32) Quadrangle, Venus”. In: *Journal of Maps* 15.2 (2019). DOI: [10.1080/17445647.2019.1614489](https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2019.1614489). [5] Stofan et al. “Global distribution and characteristics of coronae and related features on Venus: Implications for origin and relation to mantle processes”. In: *Journal of Geophysical Research* 97.E8 (1992). DOI: [10.1029/92JE01314](https://doi.org/10.1029/92JE01314). [6] Ivanov et al. “The history of volcanism on Venus”. In: *Planetary and Space Science* 84 (2013). DOI: [10.1016/j.pss.2013.04.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2013.04.018). [7] Brissaud et al. “Hybrid Galerkin numerical modelling of elastodynamics and compressible Navier-Stokes couplings: Applications to seismo-gravito acoustic waves”. In: *Geophysical Journal International* 210.2 (2017). DOI: [10.1093/gji/ggx185](https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggx185). [8] Martire et al. “SPECFEM2D-DG, an open-source software modelling mechanical waves in coupled solid–fluid systems: the linearized Navier–Stokes approach”. In: *Geophysical Journal International* 228.1 (2021). DOI: [10.1093/gji/ggab308](https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggab308). [9] Martire et al. “Numerical Simulation of the Atmospheric Signature of Artificial and Natural Seismic Events”. In: *Geophysical Research Letters* 45.21 (2018). DOI: [10.1029/2018GL080485](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL080485). [10] Garcia et al. “An active source seismo-acoustic experiment using tethered balloons to validate instrument concepts and modelling tools for atmospheric seismology”. In: *Geophysical Journal International* (2020). DOI: [10.1093/gji/ggaa589](https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggaa589). [11] Martire et al. “Martian Infrasound: Numerical Modeling and Analysis of InSight’s Data”. In: *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* (2020). DOI: [10.1029/2020JE006376](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JE006376). [12] Bilotti et al. “The Global Distribution of Wrinkle Ridges on Venus”. In: *Icarus* 139.1 (1999). DOI: [10.1006/icar.1999.6092](https://doi.org/10.1006/icar.1999.6092). [13] Manighetti et al. “Generic along-strike segmentation of Afar normal faults, East Africa: Implications on fault growth and stress heterogeneity on seismogenic fault planes”. In: *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosys-*

*tems* 16.2 (2015). DOI: [10.1002/2014GC005691](https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GC005691). [14] Perrin et al. “Geometry and segmentation of Cerberus Fossae, Mars: implications on marsquake properties in Elysium Planitia”. In: *Journal of Geophysical Research E: Planets* (2021). DOI: [10.1002/essoar.10508577.1](https://doi.org/10.1002/essoar.10508577.1). [15] Sabbeth et al. “Predicting Venus’ Seismicity from Surface Faulting”. In: *American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting*. 2021. [16] Leonard. “Earthquake Fault Scaling: Self-Consistent Relating of Rupture Length, Width, Average Displacement, and Moment Release”. In: *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* 100.5A (2010). DOI: [10.1785/0120090189](https://doi.org/10.1785/0120090189). [17] Gudmundsson et al. “Gradual caldera collapse at Bárðarbunga volcano, Iceland, regulated by lateral magma outflow”. In: *Science* 353.6296 (2016). DOI: [10.1126/science.aaf8988](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf8988). [18] Neal et al. “The 2018 rift eruption and summit collapse of Kīlauea Volcano”. In: *Science* 363.6425 (2019). DOI: [10.1126/science.aav7046](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav7046). [19] Lai et al. “Inflation and Asymmetric Collapse at Kīlauea Summit During the 2018 Eruption From Seismic and Infrasound Analyses”. In: *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 126.10 (2021). DOI: [10.1029/2021JB022139](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JB022139). [20] Shuler et al. “Physical mechanisms for vertical-CLVD earthquakes at active volcanoes”. In: *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 118.4 (2013). DOI: [10.1002/jgrb.50131](https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrb.50131). [21] Denlinger et al. “Instability of Hawaiian Volcanoes”. In: *Characteristics of Hawaiian Volcanoes, U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1801*. Ed. by M. P. Poland et al. 2014. Chap. 4. [22] Roman et al. “Dynamics of large effusive eruptions driven by caldera collapse”. In: *Nature* 592.7854 (2021). DOI: [10.1038/s41586-021-03414-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03414-5). [23] Sandanbata et al. “Moment Tensors of Ring-Faulting at Active Volcanoes: Insights Into Vertical-CLVD Earthquakes at the Sierra Negra Caldera, Galápagos Islands”. In: *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 126.6 (2021). DOI: [10.1029/2021JB021693](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JB021693). [24] Wilding et al. “Differentiation of volcanically- and tectonically-driven seismicity at Kīlauea”. In: *American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting*. 2021. [25] Bass et al. “Absorption of sound in the Martian atmosphere”. In: *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 109.6 (2001). DOI: [10.1121/1.1365424](https://doi.org/10.1121/1.1365424). [26] Trahan et al. “Absorption of infrasound in the lower and middle clouds of Venus”. In: *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 148.1 (2020). DOI: [10.1121/10.0001520](https://doi.org/10.1121/10.0001520). [27] Chide et al. “Experimental determination of acoustic attenuation under simulated Martian atmosphere”. In: *American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting*. 2020. [28] Krishnamoorthy et al. “Detection of Artificially Generated Seismic Signals Using Balloon-Borne Infrasound Sensors”. In: *Geophysical Research Letters* 45.8 (2018). DOI: [10.1002/2018GL077481](https://doi.org/10.1002/2018GL077481). [29] Krishnamoorthy et al. “Aerial Seismology Using Balloon-Based Barometers”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 57.12 (2019). DOI: [10.1109/TGRS.2019.2931831](https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2019.2931831). [30] Brissaud et al. “The first detection of an earthquake from a balloon using its acoustic signature”. In: *Geophysical Research Letters* (2021).