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Introduction: The Moon’s surface thermal environment is among the most extreme of any planetary body in the solar system [1]. The surface temperature of the Moon governs the thermal state of the Moon’s regolith and interior, and the behavior of near-surface volatiles [2]. Highly accurate surface temperatures at local times are required for the development of thermo-physical models of the lunar regolith. It is also needed for the thermal correction of the surface reflectance measurements which can improve the accuracy of lunar surface composition mapping. The brightness temperature (BT) is lower than the physical temperature due to the non-unity emissivity for most surfaces and has significant spatial variation. Here we present initial results of the simultaneous retrieval of lunar surface temperature and surface spectral emissivity in 3-5 μm range, from Chandrayaan-2 Imaging Infrared Spectrometer (IIRS, [3]) observations.

Retrieval algorithm: The simultaneous retrieval of lunar surface temperature and spectral emissivity is performed using optimal estimation [4]. Given a set of radiance (or equivalent BT) observations y and a priori state vector x for representing the state system at the observation location, we seek an optimal state x that minimizes the distance between the model a priori state and the observed radiances. When the model a priori and observation errors are uncorrelated and have a Gaussian distribution, then the optimal estimate of the state vector x is the minima of the following cost function J(x):

\[
J(x) = (x - x_o)^T S_x^{-1} (x - x_o) + (y - F(x))^T S_y^{-1} (y - F(x))
\]

(1)

where F is the forward model simulating the observed radiance (or equivalent BT) y given the model state x. S_x and S_y are the a priori and observation error covariance matrices respectively. The S_x and S_y are considered to be diagonal. The forward model F used here is given as

\[
I_i = (1 - \epsilon_i) \frac{J_i \cos(\theta)}{n d^2 \cos(\epsilon)} + \epsilon_i \frac{h c^2}{\lambda^5} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2/3} - 1} \lambda^3
\]

(2)

where I_i is the spectral radiance measured by IIRS at wavelength \( \lambda \), h is the Planck’s constant, c is the speed of light, k is the Boltzmann constant, \( \lambda_i \) is the spectral solar irradiance at wavelength, d is the mean Sun-Moon distance in astronomical units, \( \theta \) is the incidence angle, and \( \epsilon \) is the emergence angle.

In Eq. 2, spectral emissivity \( \epsilon_i \) and the surface temperature \( T_s \) are unknown variables and \( I_i \) is the known variable. The surface temperature remains unchanged with wavelength. Hence, N channel observations correspond to N + 1 unknowns (N emissivity and 1 surface temperature). The number of unknowns is larger than that of equations. If we have another set of observations available at the same location at different time instant then there will be 2N observations and N + 2 unknowns (N emissivity and 2 surface temperatures, assuming emissivity time invariant) and the system can be solved. It is possible only if we have repetitive observations at the same location. In case of IIRS, obtaining repetitive observations is difficult. To create another set of observations we took the average of the eight nearby neighboring pixels. Emissivity is assumed to be same in the 3 × 3 box. With this assumption, the \( T_s \) will be retrieved at the pixel resolution however emissivity will be retrieved at every third pixel. Accordingly, the state vector for optimal estimation is defined as \( \mathbf{x} = (\epsilon_{\lambda_1}, \epsilon_{\lambda_2}, ..., \epsilon_{\lambda_m}, T_s, \bar{T}_s)^T \) and the observation vector as \( \mathbf{y} = (I_{i1}, I_{i2}, ..., I_{im}, I_{s1}, I_{s2}, ..., I_{sm})^T \). \( T_s \) represents the surface temperature of the center pixel while \( \bar{T}_s \) corresponds to the average temperature of surrounding 8 pixels. Similarly, \( \bar{T}_{s1} \) represents the radiances observations at the center pixel and \( I_{s1} \) denotes the average radiance observations of the surrounding 8 pixels.

For the physical retrieval of lunar surface temperature and surface spectral emissivity we used IIRS observations in the range of 3-5 μm. The observations are affected by the order sorting filters (OSF), so those channels are avoided where OSFs are placed. Few other channels, in the immediate vicinity of the OSFs, are also rejected as their quality was not found to be good for retrieval. A total of 69 channels are used for the retrieval. The a priori surface temperature, \( T_s^a \), is calculated by inverting the Planck’s function

\[
T_s^a = \frac{h c}{\lambda k \log \left( \frac{2 h c^2 e_i}{\lambda^5 \lambda_i^3} + 1 \right)}
\]

(3)
$I_\lambda$ is the radiance observation at $\lambda = 4.8749 \mu m$. The emissivity value $\epsilon_{\lambda=4.8749 \mu m}$ is taken as 0.85.

The a priori emissivity, $\epsilon_\lambda^a$, is calculated from Eq. 2 by using the $T_s^a$, values, calculated as described above. The a priori emissivity values are calculated for all selected wavelengths ($\lambda_i$) at each pixel. The averaged values of $\epsilon_\lambda^a$ in $5 \times 5$ box, excluding the inner $3 \times 3$ box, are used as a priori emissivity. Again, here the a priori is prepared in this manner in order to avoid the correlation between the observations and the a priori.

The spatial plot of the retrieved surface temperature is shown in Figure 1. The surface temperature ranges from 320-380 K. This range agrees well with the reported temperatures over lunar surface by various authors [5,6].

The spatial plots of the IIRS radiance and retrieved emissivity at few selected wavelengths are shown in Figures 2 and 3 respectively. The emissivity plots show significant spatial variation for small wavelengths. For large wavelengths the spatial variation is quite low. The forward model uses Kirchoff’s law which is valid if the surface is isothermal in the infrared skin depth. In the presence of strong thermal gradients, the Kirchoff’s law is not valid. As minerals become more transparent (for example at frequencies higher than about 1400 cm$^{-1}$ or wavelengths shorter than 7 $\mu m$ for silicates), spectral behavior becomes dominated by volume scattering at all particle sizes. However, laboratory measurements of spectral emittance indicate that Kirchoff’s law is still qualitatively valid. This becomes extremely complex in the transition region [7]. Radiative transfer models such as Hapke [8], includes the multiple scattering effects of the upwelling thermal emission which may be a more accurate representation of the potential thermal effects compared with models solely based on Kirchoff’s law. The retrieval of surface temperature and emissivity with Hapke model, using IIRS observations, will be explored in future work.

**Figure 1** Retrieved surface temperature

**Figure 2** Radiance plots for (a) $\lambda = 3.0717 \mu m$, (b) $\lambda = 3.2233 \mu m$, (c) $\lambda = 3.9817 \mu m$ (d) $\lambda = 4.1671 \mu m$, (e) $\lambda = 4.3524 \mu m$, (f) $\lambda = 4.5210 \mu m$, (g) $\lambda = 4.7063 \mu m$, (h) $\lambda = 4.8580 \mu m$.

**Figure 3** Spatial plots of the retrieved surface emissivity plots for wavelengths mentioned in Fig. 2.

**Results and discussion:** The spatial plot of the retrieved surface temperature is shown in Figure 1. The surface temperature ranges from 320-380 K. This range agrees well with the reported temperatures over lunar surface by various authors [5,6].
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