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Introduction: The InSight mission landed in a ~27 m-diameter (D) degraded crater informally named Homestead hollow in Elysium Planitia [e.g., 1-3]. Elysium is characterized by smooth, basaltic lava plains estimated to be Hesperian (based on the size frequency distribution (SFD) of craters with D > 5 km) to Early Amazonian in age (based on the SFD of craters between 200m and 1 km in diameter [e.g., 4]). Nearly 15 years prior to InSight’s arrival on Mars, the Mars Exploration Rover (MER) Spirit landed on Late Hesperian- to Amazonian-aged [e.g., 5-8] basaltic lava plains in Gusev crater. Along its traverse, the Spirit rover investigated sediment-filled hollows that are comparable in size and general appearance [e.g., 9-11] to Homestead hollow, yet many appear to retain better-preserved rims (e.g., less degraded).

The landing site for the InSight mission was selected during the Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter (MRO) era of high resolution orbital data. As a result, the final landing ellipse was covered with images from the MRO High Resolution Imaging Science Experiment (HiRISE) [12] with resolutions of ~0.25 m per pixel [13] and the MRO Context camera (CTX) [14] with resolutions of ~6 m per pixel. Previous work using HiRISE data established a crater classification system and degradational continuum (Class 1 are pristine craters, down to Class 7 which are the most degraded craterforms that can still be positively identified), constrained the degradation processes, and estimated crater degradation rates for relatively fresh, 10 to 100-m-scale craters across the entire final InSight landing ellipse [4]. Since landing in Homestead hollow, this line of inquiry was expanded to include additional degraded, hollow-like craters (Class 8) in the region of the InSight lander to provide context and help interpret geological observations at the lander scale [2].

Motivation: Despite the broad similarities between the Spirit and InSight landing sites, the craters at the Spirit landing site have not yet received the same analyses using MRO data. Using comparable datasets and techniques, we aim to understand if the populations of degraded craters and quasi-circular depressions on the floor of Gusev crater follow the same degradation continuum as observed at the InSight landing site. The comparison between Gusev and Elysium will further our understanding of the degradation history of craters on Hesperian- to Early Amazonian-aged volcanic surfaces and provides constraints on the timing and extent of burial and exhumation events.

Methods: Gusev crater statistics were compiled using CraterTools [15], a plug-in software for ArcGIS (v. 10.6). Craters, excluding obvious secondary clusters, were counted using CTX data in a ~2,500 square km region around the Spirit landing site. We also determined the SFD of craters using HiRISE data in a preliminary ~9 square km area north of the Spirit landing site (Fig. 1). Each crater in the HiRISE data is classified based on its observed state of degradation (Class 1-8 after [2, 4]). We plan to use comparable areas, datasets, and methodology in Gusev so that we can directly compare the results to the analyses done at the InSight landing site in Elysium Planitia [2]. Relative ages of the surfaces were interpreted from cumulative plots created in Craterstats software, using the Mars chronology function of [16], production function of [17], and the equilibrium function of [18] (Fig. 2).

Figure 1. Preliminary map of craters classified in a ~ 9 km square area (green box) north of the MER Spirit landing site (red star). Craters are classified based on their observed state of degradation from Class 2 (more pristine) through Class 8 (hollows) after [2, 4]. Class 2 (yellow), Class 3 (e.g. Bonneville crater, green), Class 4 (blue), Class 5 (purple), Class 6 (pink), Class 7 (orange), Class 8 (white). Future work will extend the crater map to 21 square km (encompassing the Spirit landing site) to be consistent with previous work in Elysium [2]. Subframe of HiRISE ESP_025815_1655 (0.25 m/pixel) near -14.58°N, 175.48°E. North is up.
Preliminary Results and Observations: Morphologically distinct populations of craters are apparent on the Gusev plains, ranging from bowl shaped to quasi-circular depressions.

Observations from CTX data: In a ~2,500 square km area encompassing the volcanic plains in the vicinity of the Spirit landing site, a preliminary population of all craters between D=0.2-1 km is consistent with the expected population production function, yielding an Early Amazonian age (estimated absolute age is ~2.2 Ga, similar to the InSight landing site).

Observations from HiRISE data: The total number of craters counted in the preliminary crater map area of ~9 square km using HiRISE data (Fig. 1) is 2,431 (ranging in diameter from 3 to ~300 m). Class 8 has 1,527 craters, Class 7 has 674, Class 6 has 134, Class 5 has 68, Class 4 has 15, Class 3 has 10, and Class 2 has 3 craters. Only 29 craters are ≥ 100 m in diameter and 6 are ≥ 200 m in diameter. The crater mapping in Elysium in a 21 square km area resulted in just over 2,000 craters [2], implying that the Gusev plains may retain smaller craters more efficiently relative to the InSight landing site.

The cumulative SFD plots for craters in Class 1 to 5, 1 to 6, 1 to 7, and 1 to 8 are shown in Figure 2. As seen at the InSight landing site, the size frequency distribution of the Class 8 hollows points to an impact origin [2], and craters with diameters less than 100 m generally follows the -2 slope that is similar to the equilibrium function of [17]. The D ≤ 100 m-scale population of relatively pristine craters, however, falls below the equilibrium line (most pronounced for Class 1-5 craters). Similar to Elysium, this observation points to non-linear degradation rates over time, whereby early degradation was followed by much slower degradation [2-3]. The lower slope of the SFD for craters <100m is shallower than the expected production function or the equilibrium function, suggesting smaller craters are eroding faster than larger craters (consistent with hollows measured from the rover and from Mars Orbiter Camera data [10]). The kink in the SFD of craters near 100 m diameter is consistent with a resurfacing event around 200-300 Ma (based on craters 40 to 70 m in diameter). Similarities in crater populations and erosion rates at two different localities on Mars highlights the relative importance of geomorphic processes responsible for degrading volcanic plains for the past ~1 Ga.