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Introduction: Hebrus Valles (HV) and Hephaestus Fossae (HF) are well-preserved examples of Early Amazonian outflow channel systems carved into bedrock in SE Utopia Planitia, Mars (17-25 °N, 118-129 °E, Fig. 1). They exhibit a diverse set of morphologies indicative of formation by one or more liquid water outflow events, possibly initiated by magmatic intrusion, melting and cracking of the cryosphere [1-4]. However, little is known about their history, including both the origin and ultimate fate of the water and resulting sediments. This represents a significant gap in our understanding of geologic processes occurring in the Amazonian Period. Thanks to extensive coverage by recent datasets, it is now feasible to study the evolution of the HV-HF outflow channel systems with an integrated analysis of diverse, complementary data. These include high-resolution visible imagery, multispectral infrared datasets, surface and subsurface radar sounding, and stereo-derived digital terrain models (DTMs).

Methods: The initial task of this study is chronostatigraphic geologic mapping of the HV-HF region (Fig. 1). We integrate the analysis of a context camera (CTX) mosaic basemap with stereo-derived CTX DTMs, Shallow Radar (SHARAD) surface reflectivity, and Thermal Emission Imaging Spectrometer (THEMIS) decorrelation stretches. This task is followed by the detailed reconstruction of the sequence of geological processes and events based on the identification of morphological and thermophysical facies in a subset of the study region.

In a preliminary study, we also analyzed a set of Shallow Radar (SHARAD) 2D profiles crossing HV, and traced subsurface reflectors. Clutter simulations based on high resolution DTMs allowed us to distinguish returns that appear in the subsurface but originate from off-nadir surface relief [5]. In addition to subsurface mapping, numerous studies have demonstrated the ability of reflectivity analysis to provide quantitative descriptions of scattering properties and dielectric permittivity of the surface and the shallow subsurface in SHARAD data [e.g., 6]. We applied similar techniques to a subset of SHARAD profiles crossing HV to obtain a quantitative description of near-surface reflectivity.

Preliminary studies and results: Geologic and facies mapping. Fig. 3 illustrates examples of five sample facies mapped in our preliminary study using CTX images. We identified a total of 23 facies within the HV-HF study region, which will be used to reconstruct the origin and evolution of the outflow channels in detail.

Subsurface radar sounding. A preliminary analysis of 15 radar profiles in HV reveals the presence of subsurface interfaces in proximity to a wrinkle ridge (example in Fig. 2). This ridge is superimposed onto HV, meaning that its formation postdates the outflow activity. The average time delay of mapped reflectors corresponds to a minimum depth of ~45 m assuming a basalitic subsurface composition ($\varepsilon'$=8.8, [7]) to ~60 m assuming pure water ice ($\varepsilon'$=3.1 [e.g., 8]). The possible average depths of SHARAD subsurface reflectors is not compatible with the detection of the base of the resistant layer seen in nearby outcrops at <20 m depth. Moreover, reflectors appear to pinch-out or vary in depth considerably, and are all located within the topographic expression of a wrinkle ridge, suggesting a structural, rather
than stratigraphic origin. An extensive analysis of all radar profiles in the region is needed to determine whether these reflectors correspond to the internal structure of the wrinkle ridge or an unrelated dielectric interface in the subsurface, such as the top of the ice table.

**Surface radar reflectivity.** Quantitative analysis of a subset of 24 profiles crossing knobbed terrains in HV reveals a strong variability in surface reflectivity (Fig. 4). This could be due to a more heterogeneous subsurface at site A that could result from a high-energy sedimentary environment, but a complete analysis including surface roughness is required to fully evaluate the data.