

IMAGING THE SUBSURFACE STRUCTURE OF PIT CRATERS. Craig Magee¹, Corbin L. Kling², and Paul K. Byrne², ¹Institute of Geophysics and Tectonics, School of Earth Science and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK (c.magee@leeds.ac.uk) for first author, ²Planetary Research Group, Department of Marine, Earth, and Atmospheric Sciences, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA.

Introduction: Pit craters are enigmatic sub-circular depressions observed on rocky and icy planetary bodies across the Solar System (e.g., **Fig. 1**) [1–7]. These craters do not primarily form by impact or eruption, but likely reflect collapse of subsurface cavities following fluid (e.g., magma) movement and/or extensional tectonics. Pit craters thus provide important surficial records of otherwise inaccessible subsurface processes. However, unlocking these pit crater archives is difficult because we do not know how their surface expression relates to their subsurface structure or driving mechanisms [6]. As such, there is a variety of hypotheses concerning pit crater formation, which variously relate cavity collapse to: (i) opening of dilatational jogs during faulting; (ii) tensile fracturing; (iii) karst development; (iv) permafrost melting; (v) lava tube evacuation; (vi) volatile release from dyke tip process zones; (vii) pressure waning behind a propagating dike tip; (viii) migration of magma away from a reservoir; and/or (ix) hydrothermal fluid movement inducing host rock porosity collapse. Validating whether these proposed mechanisms can drive pit crater formation and, if so, identifying how the physical characteristics of pits can be used to infer their driving mechanisms, is critical to probing subsurface processes on other planetary bodies.




Figure 1: Pit craters and normal faults located on the southeast margin of Noctis Labyrinthus, Mars. Pits tend to form chains and increase in size to the north of this region.

Here we use seismic reflection data from the North Carnarvon Basin offshore NW Australia, which provide ultrasound-like images of the subsurface and so can help characterize the full 3D structure of natural pit craters (e.g., **Fig. 2**). We examined data for 61 pits, and find that their three-dimensional shape is broadly cylindrical, with some displaying an inverted conical (trumpet-like) morphology at their tops (e.g., **Fig. 2**). The rims of the pit craters, as well as the upper tips of the dike-induced normal faults, occur at or just below the base Cretaceous unconformity and are infilled by overlying strata (e.g., **Fig. 2**). We interpret these seismic–stratigraphic relationships to indicate that these pit craters developed in the latest Jurassic and have since been buried. It is difficult to establish whether seismic reflections within the upper, inverted conical portions of the pit craters represent syn-emplacment subsided material or post-emplacment sediment infill. Defining the crater depth, i.e., the height of the depression expressed at the contemporaneous surface immediately after its formation, is thus challenging. We therefore assume crater depth corresponds to the distance between the top of the pit crater and, if present, the transition from an inverted conical morphology to a cylindrical pipe.

Fifty-six pit craters, which are sub-circular and have widths of ~150–740 m, extend down ~500 m to and are aligned in chains above the upper tips of dikes (e.g., **Fig. 2**); crater depths are ~12–225 m. These dike-related pit craters occur within long, linear graben interpreted to be bound by dike-induced normal faults (e.g., **Fig. 2**). The additional five pit craters, which are ~140–740 m wide and ~32–107 m deep, formed independent of dykes and are associated only with tectonic normal faults; these data thus allow us to compare the physical characteristics of pits generated by diking with those formed by purely tectonic processes. To test whether our quantitative data can be used to inform interpretation of pit craters observed on other planetary bodies, we compare their morphology to those imaged in Noctis Labyrinthus on Mars.

Noctis Labyrinthus, located east of the Tharsis Rise and west of Valles Marineris, is a geologically complex region of Mars. Many normal faults, periglacial signatures, landslides, normal faults, and pit craters dominate within the area (e.g., **Fig. 1**) [8–10]. There are >200 pit craters in Noctis Labyrinthus, most of which occur in chains [11]. Pits there range in width 0.4–11.7 km and have depths of 1–1900 m; i.e. they are often much larger

than the Terran pits we report. The Noctis pit craters all have an inverted conical shape, partly infilled with fine-grained regolith material. Resistant layers are visible in the upper walls of the pits, indicative of a mechanically variable host stratigraphy. We do not find substantial evidence of pit formation due to diking within Noctis Labyrinthus, although we acknowledge such evidence could be masked by secondary mass wasting processes. Like the pits we report from offshore NW Australia, the Noctis pits typically occur with graben.

Our preliminary data for the NW Australia pits reveal a moderate, positive correlation between crater width and depth; there is no distinction between the depth and width trends of pit craters associated with dikes and those apparently without a magmatic component. In comparison, there is no apparent trend between these morphological characteristics for the pit craters from Noctis Labyrinthus.

Overall, we show that reflection seismology is a powerful tool for studying and testing how pit craters form, and anticipate future seismic-based studies will improve our understanding of how the surface expressions of pit craters (either in subaerial or submarine settings) can be used to reconstruct subsurface structures

and processes on other planetary bodies, where such seismic data are not currently available.

Acknowledgments: CM is funded by a NERC Independent Research Fellowship (NE/R014086/1). We are extremely grateful to Geoscience Australia for providing the publically available seismic reflection data used in this work. **References:** [1] Okubo, C. and Martel S., (1998), *JVGR*, 86(1-4), 1-18; [2] Head, J.W. and Wilson, L. (1993), *PSS*, 41(10), 719-727; [3] Bleamaster, L.F. and Hansen, V.L., (2004), *JGR Planets*, 109(E2); [4] Banerdt et al. (1992), *Univ. of Ariz. Press*, 249-297; [5] Davis et al. (1995), *Icarus*, 114(2), 403-422; [6] Wyrick et al. (2004), *JGR Planets*, 109(E6); [7] Wyrick et al. (2010), *LPSC Proceedings*; [8] Leone, G. (2014) *JVGR*, 277, 1-8; [9] Rodriguez, J. et al. (2016) *PSS*, 124, 1-14; [10] Andrews-Hannah, J., (2012) *JGR: Planets*, 117(6); [11] Kling C.L. et al. (2020) *Lunar Planet. Sci.*, this volume.

Figure 2: 3D view of a cylindrical pit crater that has developed within a graben above a dike. This pit is situated in the Chandon 3D seismic survey area on the Exmouth Plateau.

