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**Introduction:** Unlike hydrogen, nitrogen, and oxygen, solar system carbon isotopic compositions are very uniform: $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C} \approx 80–115$ with many near the Earth value of $\approx 90$. Recently, Clark et al. [1] reported an extremely low value of $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}=19$ in CO ice on Saturn’s moon Phoebe (Fig. 1). Here, we investigate possible interpretations for this observation.

*Figure 1.* Phoebe’s extreme $^{13}\text{C}$ enrichment compared to other measured solar system objects. $\delta^{13}\text{C} (\text{‰}) = \left(\frac{^{13}\text{C}}{^{12}\text{C}}\right)_{\text{measured}} / \left(\frac{^{13}\text{C}}{^{12}\text{C}}\right)_{\text{Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite}} - 1 \times 1000$. The reference is Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite ($^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}=89$). Solar system objects are spread on the x-axis by D/H ratio (ref. Vienna Std. Mean Ocean Water, D/H = 155.8$\times 10^{-6}$).

**Origin of Phoebe’s CO:** Phoebe, a dark object on a retrograde orbit, is very likely a captured moon. Its bulk density $\approx 1640$ kg m$^{-3}$ suggests that it could have formed either in the transneptunian protoplanetary disk [2] or in the giant planets forming region [3], with a bulk ice (mostly H2O) content of 30-50% by mass and a possible abundance of solid carbon up to 20% [3].

The $^{13}\text{C}$-rich CO ice could have formed in several ways. CO$_2$ could predate accretion if it formed via the gas-grain reaction of C or C$^+$ with O [4]. The reaction of CO with OH in nebular gas [5] or with O on grains [4] is implausible as it would require $^{13}\text{C}$-rich CO (see end p. 1). CO$_2$ could also form post-accretion by oxidation of organic compounds condensed in the nebula [6] and seen on Phoebe [7], via space weathering [8] or aqueous alteration [3,7].

**Possible sources of Phoebe’s $^{13}\text{C}$ enrichment:** It is unlikely that Phoebe’s carbon became $^{13}\text{C}$-enriched post-accretion, because other bodies have a uniform $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ ratio irrespective of size, state of differentiation, outgassing, or C speciation (Fig. 1).

Unless Phoebe was captured from another planetary system, a pre-solar system origin for its C is unlikely for two reasons. First, most interstellar sources have $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ ratios higher than Phoebe’s [1], save for rare pre-solar grains [9] and sources near the Galactic center [10]. Second, mixing of pre-solar C isotopic reservoirs would distribute $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ ratios among solar system bodies throughout the range spanning Phoebe’s solar-like values (as is the case for D/H ratios; Fig. 1), rather than cluster them within a tight range with the outlier Phoebe value suggesting a distinct reservoir.

Phoebe itself could have formed outside the solar system in a dense, $^{13}\text{C}$-rich region. Such conditions could be met around an asymptotic giant branch star dredging up and ejecting $^{13}\text{C}$-rich material [10]. This material could condense and either coat Phoebe as a remnant planetesimal, or form Phoebe as a 2nd generation planetesimal, prior to ejection due to dynamical disturbances caused by the star shedding mass or gravitational interactions with surviving or newly formed planets [11]. The plausibility of these scenarios would need to be quantified. Dating of Phoebe material could indicate whether it predates the solar system.

If Phoebe formed in the solar system, we find that its $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ ratio can only result from accretion of carbon in a region of the protoplanetary disk where CO (the prime carrier molecule of C in the solar nebula) was abundant enough to be opaque (self-shielding) to far-UV wavelengths able to photolyze $^{12}\text{CO}$, but still transparent to wavelengths able to photolyze rarer $^{13}\text{CO}$. This model, applied to $^{16}\text{O}$ and $^{17,\text{18}}\text{O}$, can explain the distribution of O isotopes in the solar system [12,13]. C differs from O by its propensity to be ionized and undergo fast C$^+$ exchange with unfractionated CO, which can erase the fractionation induced by CO photolysis depending on total carbon abundance and far-UV flux. Simulations tracking these reactions show that the fractionation can persist in some nebular regions but be erased in others, with $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}=15–130$ depending on the disk region and the C species [5].

C$^+$-CO exchange can itself enrich CO in $^{13}\text{C}$, a fractionation that could then be inherited by CO: formed from CO without invoking self-shielding. However, the enrichment, given by $^{12}\text{CO}^{^{13}}\text{CO} = 12\text{C}^+/^{13}\text{C}^+ \exp(-13\text{C}/^{12}\text{C})$, is not large enough to explain Phoebe’s extreme $^{13}\text{C}$ enrichment.
heating to aequously alter Phoebe’s silicates [3] and with planetesimal formation mechanisms involving gas [22]. The capture explanation for Phoebe’s $^{13}$C enrichment implies that other small irregular moons and Triton could be $^{13}$C-rich too if captured early.

Figure 2. Post-capture decay of Phoebe’s orbital eccentricity due to nebular drag. Initial apoapses are 4, 2, 1, and 0.5 AU; the periaxis is assumed to remain constant. Black curves: gas densities constant with time, decreasing exponentially in the Saturn gap from $10^{12.5}$ (thick curves), $10^{12}$ (dashed curves), and $10^{11.5}$ cm$^{-3}$ (thin curves) to $10^9$ times less at apoapsis. Pink curve: if the density profile decreases exponentially with time (see text), Phoebe asymptotically reaches its current orbit (dotted line) as the nebula vanishes.

Phoebe could also have formed much farther out at the nebula’s outer edge, sampling $^{13}$C-rich material there before being tugged inward by the giant planets. This does not explain why no comet has a $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C composition that comes close to Phoebe’s (Fig. 1).
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