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Introduction: Mercury’s magnetosphere is highly dynamic as a consequence of its close proximity to the Sun and Mercury’s weak internal magnetic field [1,2]. Compared with Earth, Mercury possesses a magnetosphere whose size is only 5% of that of the Earth, and the planet itself occupies a large fraction of the magnetosphere. In addition, the Mercury’s interaction with solar wind is also complicated by Mercury’s large conducting core of radius ~ 0.8R_M (R_M = 2440 km is the Mercury’s radius) [3] and the offset of its dipole center northward by 0.2 R_M from the equator [4]. In particular, magnetic reconnection has been frequently observed at Mercury magnetopause [5] and magnetotail [6]; the former allows direct entry of solar wind plasmas into the planetary system. The rate of reconnection in Mercury’s collisionless magnetosphere relies on electron kinetic physics.

Method: In order to capture the kinetic behavior of Mercury’s magnetosphere during the process of solar wind interaction, we investigated Mercury’s magnetosphere using the Gkeyll ten-moment multifluid code that solves the continuity, momentum and pressure tensor equations of both protons and electrons, as well as the full Maxwell equations [7]. Non-ideal effects like the Hall effect, inertia, and tensorial pressures are self-consistently embedded without the need to explicitly solve a generalized Ohm’s law. Previously, we have benchmarked this approach in classical test problems like the Orszag-Tang vortex and GEM reconnection challenge problem [8].

Results: Figure 1 shows the three-dimensional Mercury’s magnetosphere based on the solar wind input from the second flyby of MESSENGER. We first validated the model by using MESSENGER magnetic field data through data-model comparisons (Figure 2).

This model is able to capture the parallel electric current in Mercury’s polar region owing to its kinetic physics and, in the meantime, to reproduce the magnetotail asymmetry as observed by MESSENGER [e.g., 9,10] (Figure 3). Both day- and night-side collisionless magnetic reconnection are studied in detail (Figures 4 and 5). In addition, we included a mantle layer (with a resistivity profile that varies with radius) and a perfect conducting core inside the planet body to accurately represent Mercury’s interior electrical property. The intrinsic dipole magnetic field may be modified by the magnetic field induced by the eddy currents on the large conducting core surface [11]. The planetary interior, therefore, is essential to capturing the correct plasma boundary locations (e.g.,

Figure 1. The three-dimensional Mercury’s magnetosphere together with MESSENGER’s M2 trajectory (second flyby). The red sphere inside Mercury’s surface is its conducting core ~ 0.8 R_M.

Figure 2. The data-model comparison of magnetic fields along MESSENGER’s M2 trajectory.
bow shock and magnetopause locations), especially during a space weather event (Figure 6).

**Conclusion:** We studied Mercury’s dynamic magnetosphere using a novel high-moment two-fluid model. The model is capable of reproducing MESSENGER’s observations while capturing the kinetic physics beyond a traditional magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) model. This study has the potential to enhance the science returns of both the MESSENGER mission and the upcoming BepiColombo mission (launched to Mercury in 2018).

**Figure 3.** Parallel current in Mercury’s polar region and asymmetric electron pressure in Mercury’s magnetotail.

**Figure 4.** Magnetic reconnection at Mercury’s magnetopause. The color contour in each panel represents the different component of electron pressure tensor off-diagonal term.

**Figure 5.** Magnetic reconnection at Mercury’s magnetopause. The first row shows the x-component of electron velocity, the y-component of electric current and the y-component of the magnetic field. The second row shows the difference component of the electron pressure tensor off-diagonal terms.

**Figure 6.** Mercury’s magnetosphere during an extreme space weather event. Two plasmoids are formed at Mercury’s magnetotail.
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