FORMATION OF SULFATES ON PARENT BODIES OF CARBONACEOUS CHONDRITES, CERES, EUROPA, AND OTHER ICY BODIES. M. Yu. Zolotov, School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University, Tempe AZ 85287-1404, USA, E-mail: zolotov@asu.edu.

Introduction: Chemical compounds of S^{6+} , sulfates and/or SO_3 , occur on Earth, Venus, Mars, parent bodies of carbonaceous chondrites, and icy surfaces of Europa and Ganymede. On the terrestrial planets, formation of S^{6+} species is related to atmospheric photochemical processes that produce O_2 and other O-rich oxidants. Here we explain the formation of sulfates within chondritic bodies through aqueous oxidation of sulfides by O_2 and H_2O_2 released from irradiated water ices and through accretion of S^{6+} -bearing ices formed via radiolysis of more reduced sulfur species.

Chondrites: Petrographic and chemical studies of CM/CI carbonaceous chondrites indicate the occurrence of Ca, Mg, and Na sulfates and suggest their aqueous deposition on parent bodies [e.g. 1]. Although a low H₂ fugacity (f) and H₂ escape favor stability of sulfates, H2 gas may not separate and escape from lowfH₂ solutions on parent bodies. Our models show that a gas phase may not exist at the low fH2 values needed to stabilize sulfates below ~70 °C. The presence of unaltered Fe-Ni metal in CM chondrites implies that fH₂ was not low enough to stabilize sulfates. An elevated Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ ratio in less altered CM and some other chondrites [2,3] is inconsistent with progressive alteration through H₂ escape. Low fH₂ values needed to stabilize chondritic Fe³⁺ hydroxides/oxyhydoxides (e.g. ferrihydrite) could not be achieved through H2 escape. The occurrence of sulfates in mildly altered CM and other chondrites (Tagish Lake, Miller Range 07687 [4,5]) and a coexistence of sulfates with ferrihydrite are inconsistent with a H₂ escape scenario. Strong oxidants (e.g. H₂O₂) could be needed to explain apparent lowtemperature oxidation of aliphatic groups in the insoluble organic matter in carbonaceous chondrites [6]. Although the stability of sulfates in the S-O-H system increases with temperature, mineralogy of metamorphosed chondrites suggests reduction of earlier formed sulfates. Finally, oxidation of sulfides by liquid water (e.g. $HS^{-} + 4H_{2}O \rightarrow SO_{4}^{2-} + H^{+} + 4H_{2}$) is inhibited below ~150-200 °C [7]. These inferences imply an action of strong oxidants at the early low-temperature stages of parent body alteration.

Plausible formation scenario: Chondritic sulfates could have formed through rapid low-temperature (<0–30 °C) aqueous oxidation of sulfides by strong oxidants (O₂, H₂O₂, O₃, OH⁻, HO₂, etc.) [8,9], which are produced through radiolysis and photolysis of water ice before accretion. Numerous data demonstrate the formation the O-rich compounds through UV [10], electron, proton, ion, and X-ray irradiation of water ice. A

majority of oxidants could have accreted with irradiated ices, consistent with the abundant (1-10%) O₂ in the Jupiter family comet 67/P Churyumov-Gerasimenko [11]. In the presence of ²⁶Al, additional oxidants could have formed through radiolysis of water within bodies [6]. In addition to the parent body oxidation of sulfides, H₂SO₄•*n*H₂O and SO₃ could have formed through irradiation of SO₂, H₂S, and S_n in water ices [12,13] followed by accretion on parent bodies.

In parent bodies, early acidic fluids formed through aqueous dissociation of H_2SO_4 and H^+ formation in reactions of strong oxidants with reduced S and Fe species. Subsequent dissolution of silicates and oxidation of kamacite led to mineral deposition in increasingly alkaline and H_2 -rich conditions. Although $SO_4^{2^-}$ ions were unaffected by H_2 by kinetic reasons, sulfates precipitated at different stages of aqueous alteration. Low-solubility gypsum formed at early stages while Mg/Na sulfates precipitated from late alkaline and saline fluids. On some bodies, subsequent thermal metamorphism led to reduction of sulfates by organic compounds and H_2 .

Ferrohydrite, some CO₂, and carbonates could have formed through analogous early rapid oxidation of Fe²⁺ and organic compounds, though some Fe³⁺ and C⁴⁺ species could be products of pre-accretional radiolysis.

Ceres: Ceres has spectral and density affinities to carbonaceous chondrites which escaped a major thermal metamorphism, and sulfates are expected. The optical spectroscopy of bright spots within Occator crater tentatively suggests a presence of Mg sulfates [14]. However, an aqueous accumulation is not possible at low surface pressures. Abundant salts could only accumulate at depth through boiling of post-impact fluids [15]. Elevated temperatures favored sulfate trapping to low-solubility CaSO₄ and reduction to Fe sulfides [15]. If Ceres' sulfates are genuine, they may not indicate the body's origin among KBO objects.

Mars: The formation of martian sulfates is attributed to oxidation of volcanic and impact-generated SO_2 , H_2S , and S_n by O_2 formed via atmospheric photochemistry and impacts [16]. A fraction of sulfates could be from irradiation of water ice-sulfide mixtures at the surface and from oxidation of sulfides by O_2 and H_2O_2 released through melting of irradiated surface ices.

Europa and Ganymede: Mg, Na, and H sulfates have been anticipated on the icy surface of Europa based on near infrared spectra. Galileo data suggest sulfates in colored endogenic materials [17,18], while ground data do not [19,20]. Some S⁶⁺ species

(H₂SO₄•*n*H₂O, metal sulfates, SO₃) could form through implantation of iogenic S-bearing plasma [17,19] and through radiolytic oxidation of SO₂, S_n, and sulfides in water ice [12,13]. On Ganymede, surface sulfates are reported away from younger grooved terrains [21].

Both the gas starved models for formation of Jupiter [22] and the Grand Tack model for planetesimal migration [23] imply accretion of ices and carbonaceous solids together with reduced anhydrous materials formed from both sides of Jupiter. The migration of carbonaceous and/or icy planetesimals toward the asteroid belt [23] could have delivered sulfates and irradiated ices to Galilean satellites. Some sulfates could have formed during and after accretion through oxidation of sulfides by O2 and H2O2 released from irradiated ices. Low-solubility Mg and Na sulfates and chlorides were leached to primordial oceans [24]. The rest of sulfates were trapped in CaSO₄ phases and reduced to Fe sulfides during post-accretional warming of the moons' rocky cores. Hydrothermal fluids formed through dehydration of rocks and subsequent silicate melts delivered sulfide compounds (FeS, H₂S, HS⁻) toward water(ocean)-rocky core interfaces. On Europa, subsequent hydrothermal circulation of oceanic water in reduced suboceanic rocks caused trapping of oceanic SO₄² to low-solubility Ca sulfates and Fe sulfides. As on parent bodies of chondrites, H2 escape could have not been sufficient to make sulfates stable in aqueous systems. A prolonged and/or extensive hydrothermal circulation could have consumed oceanic sulfates. In turn, a limited supply of igneous rocks and a low permeability of suboceanic materials favored the preservation of sulfates in the ocean throughout history. A delivery of surface radiolytic O₂, H₂O₂, and H₂SO₄ [17] to the ocean resupplied the inventory of sulfates through oxidation of sulfides in the water and rocks [25]. Sulfates and sulfides could have coexisted metastably throughout the ocean's history, though sulfate reduction could be bio-mediated and an excess of SO₄² could be consumed to Ca sulfates. On Ganymede, a post-accretional water-rock separation could have led to a Mg-Na-sulfate-chloride water ocean separated from rocks by high-pressure ices. Surface sulfates [21] may not be related to the ocean.

Bodies beyond Jupiter: There are no observational signs for sulfates on satellites of Saturn and other bodies beyond Jupiter. Sulfates have not been detected in solid plume emissions at Enceladus or in cometary materials, though the detection of O_2 in the comet 67P [11] does not exclude a delivery of O_2 -bearing ices beyond Jupiter. The apparent lack of sulfates at Enceladus is more consistent with radiolysis of ices in the solar system (at ~5 AU) than with delivery of O_2 -bearing ices from a parent molecular cloud [11,26].

Summary: Sulfates in chondritic materials could not form without invoking photochemical and radiolytic processes that produce O-rich oxidants. Escape of H₂ from chondritic bodies is not a major driving force for oxidation of sulfides. A plausible scenario includes irradiation of water ice in the vicinity of the nebula's snow line and formation of O2 and other strong oxidants imbedded in ice. Some S⁶⁺ species (H₂SO₄•nH₂O, SO₃) formed through irradiation of H₂S, S_n, and SO₂ in water ice before accretion. A majority of sulfates in chondritic materials formed after accretion within parent bodies of carbonaceous chondrites, carbonaceous asteroids, and Galilean icy moons through rapid lowtemperature (<0 °C) oxidation of sulfides by strong oxidants accreted with irradiated ices. The oxidation occurred within carbonaceous asteroids and Jovian moons immediately after melting of accreted ice. Beyond Jupiter, lesser irradiation of ices accounted for lesser or no sulfates formed within icy moons and trans-Neptunian objects.

References: [1] Brearley A. J. and Jones R. H. (1998) Rev. in Mineralogy, 36, 3-1-3-398. [2] Howard K. T. et al. (2011) Geochim. Cosm. Acta, 75, 2735– 2751 [3] Sutton S. et al. (2013) LPSC 44, #2357. [4] Brearley A. J. (2012) *LPSC 43*, #1233. [5] Brearley A. J. (2013) MAPS Suppl., #5206. [6] Cody G. D. and Alexander C. M. O'. D. (2005) Geochim. Cosm. Acta, 69, 1085-1097. [7] Ohmoto H. and Lasaga A. C. (1982) Geochim. Cosm. Acta, 46, 1727-1745. [8] Millero F. et al., (1987) Environ. Sci. Technol., 21, 439-443. [9] Millero F. et al. (1989) Environ. Sci. Technol., 23, 209-213. [10] Yabushita A. et al. (2013) J. Photochem. Photobiol. C: Photochem. Review, 16, 46-61. [11] Bieler A. et al. (2015) *Nature*, 626, doi:10.1038/nature15707. [12] Carlson R. W. et al. (2002) Icarus, 157, 456-463. [13] Moore M. H. et al. (2007) Icarus, 189, 409-423. [14] Nathues A. et al. (2015) Nature, 528, 237-240. [15] Zolotov M. Yu. (2015) 78th Ann. Meet. of the Meteorit. Soc., LPI Contrib. 1856, #5384. [16] Gaillard F. et al. (2013) Space Sci. Rev., 174, 251–300. [17] Carlson R. W. et al. (2009) In Europa, ed. by Pappalardo R. T. et al., Univ. of Arizona Press, Tucson, p. 283. [18] Shirley J. et al. (2010) Icarus, 210, 358-384. [19] Brown M. E. and Hand K. P. (2013) The Astronom. J., 145, 110. [20] Fischer P. D. et al. (2015) The Astronom. J., 150, 164. [21] McCord T. B. et al. (2001) Science, 292, 1523– 1525. [22] Canup R. M. and Ward W. R. (2009). In Europa, ed. by Pappalardo R. T. et al., Univ. of Arizona Press, Tucson, p. 59. [23] Walsh K. J. et al. (2012) Meteorit. Planet. Sci., 47, 1941-1947. [24] Kargel J. S. et al. (2000) *Icarus*, 148, 226–265. [25] Hand K. P. et al. (2007) Astrobiology, 7, 1006-1022. [26] Cleeves L. I. et al. (2014) Science, 345, 1590-1593.