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**Introduction:** The presence of water in martian meteorites provides a unique glimpse into the evolution of water on Mars. Previous studies \([1,2]\) have shown that the oxygen extracted from water in martian meteorites is not in isotopic equilibrium with the bulk silicate rock phases. This disequilibrium suggests that isotopically different reservoirs of oxygen exist on Mars. It has been proposed \([1,2]\) that the mantle, lithosphere, hydrosphere and possibly the atmosphere represent isotopically distinct oxygen reservoirs on Mars, but no endmember compositions have been suggested. By analyzing the isotopic composition of oxygen in the water extracted from numerous martian meteorites it should be possible to demonstrate the presence of such different reservoirs, and possibly to link them to certain geological processes experienced by the different subgroups of martian meteorites. The goal of this work is to conduct a systematic study of the oxygen isotope composition of water in martian meteorites using a stepwise heating technique that allows extraction of water over different temperature ranges. Ultimately the study will look at a variety of shergottites, nakhlites, chassignites and the basaltic breccia NWA 7034. At present, the project is in the method development stage, and here we present our first results, the stepwise heating of the shergottie Tissint. We will present additional data from other martian meteorites at the meeting.

**Analytical methods:** The amount of sample material needed for analysis was determined based on the wt. % water of the sample. Since martian meteorites contain between 0.03 and 0.6 wt. % water \([1,2,3]\), sample sizes range from approximately 0.6 to 5 grams. For terrestrial control samples much less material was needed \((\approx 0.1-0.3\, \text{g})\) due to higher water contents. Once the appropriate amount of sample material was determined (using a TC/EA – Thermal Combustion Elemental Analyzer), the sample was crushed to a fine powder and loaded into a \(\frac{1}{2}\) inch diameter quartz tube. Prior to sample loading the quartz tube along with quartz wool plugs was heated to 1000°C, in order to expel any trace water contamination. Once the sample was loaded, it was flushed with ultra high purity He gas at room temperature for two hours. The He gas was passed through a coil of tubing held at liquid nitrogen temperature prior to entering the sample tube, in order to trap any contaminants present in the gas. The sample was then step wise heated to \(50^\circ\), \(200^\circ\), \(400^\circ\), \(700^\circ\) and \(1000^\circ\)C. The sample was held at each temperature for 1 hour. The volatiles evolved at each interval were moved out of the sample tube by the He stream (at a flow rate of 120 cc/min) and collected in a cold trap held at liquid nitrogen temperature. In this study the volatiles were evolved into a helium stream instead of a vacuum as was previously done by other workers \([1,2]\). The use of helium was hypothesized to minimize the occurrence of secondary reactions between the evolved water and the rock sample and to minimize the large kinetic isotope effect, which favors light isotopes during the dehydration process \([1]\). The use of He gas also had the beneficial effect of reducing the chance of external contamination since the sample tube was held at a positive pressure during step wise heating. After collection, the cold trap was isolated from the sample chamber and the He gas and any other non-condensable volatiles were evacuated. The collected water was then transferred to a Ni-bomb and again frozen to liquid nitrogen temperature. BrF\(_3\) was admitted into the bomb and allowed to react for 2 hours at 300°C. This direct water-fluorination method is accepted and used by various workers \([4,5]\). The extraction line described up to this point is shown in figure 1. Post fluorination, excess BrF\(_3\) was removed using a series of cold traps held at liquid nitrogen temperature and by reaction with heated NaCl. The evolved O\(_2\) gas was collected in a silica gel trap held at liquid nitrogen temperature. The O\(_2\) gas was then released from the silica trap into a He-gas carrier stream and passed through a 3-feet GC packed column (Supelco, molecular sieve 13X) kept at room temperature. This was done in order to separate the O\(_2\) from possible NF\(_3\) contamination. The purified O\(_2\) was then introduced into a Finnigan Delta\(_X\)-Plus dual inlet isotope ratio mass spectrometer and analyzed. The sample O\(_2\) was analyzed 5 to 10 times. Each analysis consisted of 20 sample-reference comparisons. Per-mil deviations in the oxygen isotopic ratios were calculated using the following expressions:

\[
\delta^{16}O = \frac{[^{18}O/^{16}O]_{\text{sample}}-[^{18}O/^{16}O]_{\text{SMOW}}}{[^{18}O/^{16}O]_{\text{SMOW}}} \times 10^3 \quad \text{and} \\
\delta^{17}O = \frac{[^{17}O/^{16}O]_{\text{sample}}-[^{17}O/^{16}O]_{\text{SMOW}}}{[^{17}O/^{16}O]_{\text{SMOW}}} \times 10^3 
\]

In order to create a straight-lined mass-fractionation curve the \(\delta\)-values were linearized using equation:

\[
\delta^{18}O = \ln[(\delta^{17}O/\delta^{18}O+1)/10]^5 \times 10^3 \quad \text{[7].} 
\]

\(\Delta^{17}O\) values were calculated using the following relationship:

\[
\Delta^{17}O = \delta^{17}O - 0.528 \times \delta^{18}O. 
\]

The experimental system was evaluated for accuracy and reproducibility using a terrestrial water standard NM-2 and a terrestrial basalt sample. Fluorination of terrestrial water produced \(\Delta^{17}O\) values of 0.067 \(\%\) with a standard \(\sigma_1\) deviation of 0.018 \%. Measurements of water extracted from ter-
terrestrial basalt produced $\Delta^{17}O$ values of $\approx 0.04$‰ for temperatures below 450°C and $\Delta^{17}O$ values of $\approx -0.11$‰ for temperatures above 450°C with a standard deviation (1σ) of 0.018‰. This behavior appears consistent between multiple analyses of the same terrestrial basalt sample. This $\Delta^{17}O$ anomaly observed in water extracted from terrestrial basalt at high temperature is not understood and will be further investigated.

Results and discussion: Analysis of water extracted from Tissint shows a distinct $\Delta^{17}O$ value relative to that of Earth (Figure 2). Water extracted at low temperature steps (0-50°C and 50-200°C) is only slightly elevated in $\Delta^{17}O$ relative to Earth (0.06 and 0.08‰, respectively). It is possible that these low-temperature waters represent absorbed terrestrial water, but given that Tissint is a fall, it is also likely that they are of martian origin. This possibility will be further investigated. Tissint water $\Delta^{17}O$ values are most positive at temperature steps of 200-400°C and 400-700°C. These waters are distinctly extraterrestrial, with values of 0.18‰ and 0.12‰, respectively. However, the measured $\Delta^{17}O$ values from the water extracted at these temperatures fall below the $\Delta^{17}O$ value of the whole rock silicate analysis of Tissint which is 0.295‰ [8]. This indicates that the oxygen in the water within Tissint is not in isotopic equilibrium with the bulk rock. The water extracted from Tissint also has a lower $\Delta^{17}O$ value than the SNC whole rock average $\Delta^{17}O$ value of 0.3‰ [9]. Similar behavior was observed in the isotopic composition of water extracted from EETA79001A by Karlsson et al., (1992). Tissint and EETA79001A are both depleted picritic shergottites with similar petrologic characteristics and cosmic-ray exposure age of 0.7 ± 0.3 million years. This makes it possible that the two meteorites were ejected from Mars during the same event [10]. Therefore, it is not surprising that the two meteorites contain water with similar oxygen isotopic compositions. Karlsson et al., (1992) attributes the $< 0.3$‰ $\Delta^{17}O$ values of water extracted from EETA79001A to terrestrial weathering and contamination. This explanation, however, is not applicable to Tissint since it was a witnessed fall and experienced minimum terrestrial contamination. Therefore, a more robust explanation for the measured $\Delta^{17}O$ values is needed for Tissint and EETA79001A. It is possible that the isotopic signature of the water in both these meteorites was affected by the impactor responsible for the ejection of the two meteoroids [1]. Further analyses of Tissint and EETA79001A are needed to better constrain the evolution of the water within these meteorites. In order to better understand the evolution of water on the planet Mars as a whole, many more martian meteorites will be analyzed.