CONTRASTING SIZE DISTRIBUTIONS OF CHONDRULES AND INCLUSIONS IN ALLENDE CV3.
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Introduction: There are several leading theories on the processes that led to the formation of chondrites, e.g., sorting by mass [1,2], by X-winds [3], turbulent concentration [4], and by photophoresis [5] to name a few. The juxtaposition of refractory inclusions (CAIs) and less refractory chondrules is central to these theories and there is much to be learned from their relative size distributions. There have been a number of studies into size distributions of particles in chondrites but only on relatively small scales primarily for chondrules, and rarely for both CAIs and chondrules in the same sample (e.g. [6-17]). We have implemented macro-scale (25 cm diameter sample) and high-resolution micro-scale sampling of the Allende CV3 chondrite to create a complete data set of size frequencies for CAIs and chondrules.

Methods: As part of an ongoing study to characterize nebular components in carbonaceous chondrites CAIs and chondrules were characterized in X-ray phase maps obtained by scanning electron imaging (SEM) for seven Allende samples (including 0.50 cm², 0.68 cm², 0.77 cm², 0.72 cm², 0.79 cm², 0.80 cm² sized pieces; 2 that were new and from a region of Allende from which a large (~ 25 cm) slab had previously been characterized [18] and 5 that were obtained previously by [19]). The SEM data was then combined with a representative section of the ~25 cm slab. The SEM data allow for accurate phase recognition and size characterization at the smallest sizes and the large slab allows analysis at a much larger length scales. The latter should result in a better representation of larger CAIs which may not be fully included in studies of smaller samples.

Data Preparation. To create an accurate representation of the CAI and chondrule size distributions at smaller and larger scales analysis needed to be completed with images of different scales. Samples of Allende that were imaged by SEM were done so at a resolution of 3.34 um/pixel to produce element maps for further CAI and chondrule identification. These SEM images were separately digitized by 4 researchers. The mosaic image (referred to as ‘macro scale’) was also digitized to gather data on the larger CAIs that may not be fully represented in any of the 7 micro-samples.

Image Analysis. Digitized images were run through ImageJ and filtered at a confidence level of >80,000 um² for CAIs. Digitized SEM images were run through ImageJ without filtering. The digitized mosaic image was run through ImageJ without filtering. All images were analyzed in ImageJ with the same process with exception to the filtering of smallest difficult to identify sizes in the macrophotography.

The large slab sample was extensively imaged at a resolution of 13.88 um/pixel. Both sides (one designated ‘LH’ and the other designated ‘RH’) were imaged by macrophotography and mosaic images were created using Adobe Illustrator. All image files were aligned so that each of ~individual 400 image file was in the same orientation. The mosaic images were divided into 9 equal size sections of ~ 1,000,000,000.00 um² (referred to as ‘macro scale’) to facilitate comparative analysis. The ~ 1,000,000,000.00 um² size was chosen to facilitate easy comparisons to other samples and other studies. Four researchers separately digitized CAIs and chondrules in each section.

Compiling of Data. Frequency analysis was completed for the ImageJ results for each set of images (SEM and macro). The frequency data sets needed to be merged to create an accurate size distribution of the Allende CAIs and chondrules. The SEM data and macro data was scaled up and down, respectively, to produce distributions on a ~ 1,000,000,000.00 um² scale so as to be able to compare with the micro data. After some iteration, it was determined that the best merging point for the SEM and macro data would be at the 225 um (major axis) size limit. Particle size distributions below 225 um were calculated from the SEM images while everything above was calculated using the macro images.

Figure 1. Representative images of studied samples: (main) The ‘RH’ Allende slab under plain light. (inset) SEM false-colored phase X-ray map; Mg=red, Al=blue, Ca=green. Note different scales.
Figure 2. Log plot showing size distributions for chondrules (red) and CAIs (blue) using data compiled from SEM and macrophotography of Allende CV3. A prominent mode can be seen in the CAI data (histogram, lower left inset) while the chondrules display a continuous function (histogram, upper right inset).

Chondrule Fragments. One concern with the SEM chondrule data is the presence of chondrule fragments. Chondrules are known to be originally of approximately spherical shape and even when slightly deformed they likely retain their round dimensions. Many polygonal shaped chondrule fragments were seen at the SEM scale, but few that were obviously fractured. These fragments can be filtered out of the chondrule data by measuring the circularity of all the chondrules recorded and then excluding any chondrule with circularity less than 0.55. Figure 3 shows circularity versus major axis plot of all the chondrules and CAIs recorded in the SEM images.

Discussion: There are a few things to consider when looking at these size distributions:

Firstly, it is assumed that the inclusions were cut non-diametrically and therefore may not accurately represent the actual sizes of CAIs and chondrules in Allende. However, this data is a good representation of the relative frequency of the long axis lengths of CAIs in a standard size area of \( \sim 1,000,000,000 \text{ um}^2 \).

Secondly, there is the possibility that the particles underwent some sort of deformation [19], which would affect the sizes. If this deformation was equal across the parent body then the comparisons should still be accurate.

Future Work. These data sets will be processed by matrix inversion to transform 2-D particle section areas into volumes (i.e., unfolded, [18]) to get a more accurate particle size distribution. Any such integration will require proper scaling, which is still being worked out. The methods of data analysis for this study were created with the idea that inclusion size data (preferably ImageJ outputs) could be inserted and size distribution analysis produced with minimal work allowing for easy comparisons of different carbonaceous chondrites.

Conclusion: We find several potentially important findings when comparing CAIs and chondrule populations in Allende: (1) that CAIs exhibit a mode at approximately 150-200 \text{ um} whereas chondrule sizes appear to drop exponentially, (2) the largest chondrules are at least as large as the largest CAIs, and (3) regardless of whether chondrule data is filtered for circularity, the size distribution is roughly the same, which suggests that at least some of the smallest chondrules are not actually fragments.
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