

In Situ Probe Science at Saturn. D.H. Atkinson^{1,11}, J.I. Lunine², A.A. Simon-Miller³, S.K. Atreya⁴, W. Brinckerhoff³, A. Colaprete⁵, A. Coustenis⁶, L.N. Fletcher⁷, T. Guillot⁸, J.-P. Lebreton^{6,9}, P. Mahaffy³, O. Mousis¹⁰, G.S. Orton¹¹, K. Reh¹¹, L.J. Spilker¹¹, T.R. Spilker¹², and C. Webster¹¹, ¹University of Idaho (atkinson@uidaho.edu), ²Cornell University, ³NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, ⁴University of Michigan, ⁵NASA Ames Research Center, ⁶LESIA, Paris Observ., CNRS, UPMC, Univ. Paris-Diderot, Meudon, France, ⁷University of Oxford, ⁸Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, ⁹LPC2E, CNRS-Université d'Orléans, ¹⁰Université de Franche-Comté, ¹¹NASA Jet Propulsion Lab / California Institute of Technology, ¹²SSSE

Introduction: A fundamental goal of solar system exploration is to understand the origin of the solar system, the initial stages, conditions, and processes by which the solar system formed, how the formation process was initiated, and the nature of the interstellar seed material from which the solar system was born. Key to understanding solar system formation and subsequent dynamical and chemical evolution is the origin and evolution of the giant planets and their atmospheres.

Giant Planet Formation: Several theories have been put forward to explain the process of solar system formation, and the origin and evolution of the giant planets and their atmospheres. Each theory offers quantifiable predictions of the abundances of noble gases He, Ne, Ar, Kr, and Xe, and abundances of key isotopic ratios $^4\text{He}/^3\text{He}$, D/H, $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$, $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$, and $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$. Detection of certain disequilibrium species, diagnostic of deeper internal processes and dynamics of the atmosphere, would also help discriminate between competing theories.

Many of the key atmospheric constituents needed to discriminate between alternative theories of giant planet formation and chemical evolution are either spectrally inactive or primarily located in the deeper atmosphere inaccessible to remote sensing from Earth, flyby, or orbiting spacecraft. Abundance measurements of these key constituents, including the two major molecular carriers of carbon, methane and carbon monoxide (neither of which condense in Saturn's atmosphere), sulfur which is expected to be well-mixed below the 4 to 5-bar ammonium hydrosulfide (NH_4SH) cloud, and gradients of nitrogen below the NH_4SH cloud and oxygen in the upper layers of the H_2O and $\text{H}_2\text{O}-\text{NH}_4$ solution cloud, must be made *in situ* and can only be achieved by an entry probe descending through 10 bars.

Measurements of the critical abundance profiles of these key constituents into the deeper well-mixed atmosphere must be complemented by measurements of the profiles of atmospheric structure and dynamics at high vertical resolution and also require *in situ* exploration.

Planetary Atmospheres: The atmospheres of the giant planets can also serve as laboratories to better un-

derstand the atmospheric chemistries, dynamics, processes, and climates on all planets in the solar system including Earth, and offer a context and provide a ground truth for exoplanets and exoplanetary systems. Additionally, Giant planets have long been thought to play a critical role in the development of potentially habitable planetary systems.

In the context of giant planet science provided by the Galileo, Juno, and Cassini missions to Jupiter and Saturn, a small, relatively shallow Saturn probe capable of measuring abundances and isotopic ratios of key atmospheric constituents, and atmospheric structure including pressures, temperatures, dynamics, and cloud locations and properties not accessible by remote sensing can serve to test competing theories of solar system and giant planet origin, chemical, and dynamical evolution.

Acknowledgements: This research was carried out in part at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with NASA. Copyright 2013 California Institute of Technology. U.S. Government sponsorship acknowledged. O. Mousis acknowledges support from CNES.

References: [1] Mousis, O., *et al.*, "Scientific rationale of Saturn's *in situ* exploration," Submitted to Planetary Space Sciences (2014). [2] "Vision & Voyages for Planetary Science in the Decade 2013-2022," National Academies Press, Mar. 7, 2011. [3] Atreya, S.K., Proceedings of the 4th International Planetary Probe Workshop IPPW-4, 2006. [4] Atreya S.K. and A.S. Wong, Space Sci. Rev., 116, Nos. 1-2, pp 121-136, 2005. [5] Flasar, F.M., *et al.*, Science, Vol. 307, pp. 1247-1251, 2005. [6] De Pater, I. and Massie, S.T., Icarus 62, 143-171, 1985. [7] Courtin, R., *et al.* Astrophys. J., Vol. 287, p. 899, 1984. [8] Briggs, F. H. and P.D. Sackett, Icarus 80, 77103, 1989. [9] Fletcher, L.N., *et al.*, Icarus, 202, 543-564, 2009. [10] Atreya, S.K., in *Galileo's Medicean Moons Their Impact on 400 Years of Discovery* (C. Barbieri *et al.*, eds.), Chapter 16, pp130-140, 2011, Cambridge University Press. [11] Atreya S.K., *et al.*, Planet. Space Sci., 47, 1243, 1999.