

CARBON-RICH PLANET FORMATION BELOW THE SNOWLINE IN PROTOPLANETARY DISKS.

M. Ali-Dib¹, O. Mousis¹, J.-M. Petit¹ and J.I. Lunine², ¹Université de Franche-Comté, Institut UTINAM, CNRS/INSU, UMR 6213, 25010 Besançon Cedex, France (mdib@obs-besancon.fr). ²Center for Radiophysics and Space Research, Space Sciences Building, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA.

Introduction: In the last few years, a large number of exoplanets has been detected and characterized. Most of those are “Hot Jupiters” (hereafter HJs), namely planets orbiting very close to their host stars. One of the most exotic HJs is the possibly carbon-rich planet WASP 12b, thought to have a superstellar C/O~1 ratio but with substellar C/H [1]. The C/O ratio is a key parameter for the chemical composition and evolution of planetary atmospheres since it controls the relative abundances of C- and O-bearing gases and solids. Studies suggest that at equilibrium, as the C/O ratio increases (<0.8) in the gas phase, all the available O goes into organics, CO, CO₂ and CH₃OH, so that the gas phase becomes H₂O-free and the remaining C is in the form of CH₄ [2], [3]. The CO/CH₄/CO₂ ratios in planetary atmospheres are also affected by the possible existence of non equilibrium chemistry effects due to dynamical mixing and photochemistry [4]. The C/O ratio is also crucial for understanding the chemical evolution of protoplanetary disks [5]. The formation mechanism of WASP 12b with its superstellar C/O but substellar C/H ratio is a subject of intense ongoing research.

Methods: We explore the possibility of forming a giant planet with an atmospheric C/O ratio higher than that of its parent star. We use a transport model of major gaseous and solid C- and O-bearing volatiles that is based on the simultaneous dynamical evolution of their snowlines. The model takes into account the effects of aerodynamics of solid particles in presence of turbulence [6], in addition to the processes of sublimation [7], condensation [8], and coagulation [9]. The coupling of this transport model to a turbulent accretion disk model [10] allows tracking of the solid particles and gases of H₂O and CO (major C- and O-bearing volatiles) and the evolution of their respective snowlines. This allows us to compute the C/O ratios in planetesimals formed under various disk and gas phase conditions.

Results: The evolution of the vapor concentration is presented in Fig. 1. In both cases, the vapor diffusion is much faster than replenishment. This leads to a gradual depletion in vapor concentration inside the snowline. This depletion is compensated by an increase in solids surface density near the snowline itself. The comparison of the two panels of Fig. 1 shows that the vapor depletion is much faster for H₂O than for

CO. The CO snowline is much farther out than H₂O's iceline, giving CO vapor a longer distance to travel before condensation. This difference in the timescales needed to deplete water and CO vapor from the region inside the H₂O snowline leads to gas phase composition compatible with the observed abundances in WASP 12b's dayside atmosphere: for a long period of time, the CO vapor will be the major C and O bearing specie in that region, increasing the gas C/O ratio of the area to ~1. The C/O in this case is never exactly equal or higher than unity, the reason is the residual water vapor slightly decreasing the ratio. Even if the CO vapor exist in much higher concentrations than H₂O in this region, it is still depleted with respect to the initial stellar abundance, leading to a substellar C/H value. If WASP 12b accreted its atmosphere in this region of the protoplanetary disk, our model can explain all of the observed spectroscopic properties. Our model also applies for any other carbon-rich HJ sharing WASP 12b's properties.

Acknowledgments: MAD is supported by a grant from the city of Besançon. O.M. is supported by CNES. JIL is supported by the James Webb Space Telescope Project.

References:

- [1] Madhusudhan et al. 2011 *Nature*, 469,7328, 64-67.
- [2] Fortney et al. 2010 *ApJ*, 709, 2, 1396-1406. [3] Madhusudhan et al. 2011 *ApJ*, 743, 2, 191, 12. [4] Venot et al. 2012 *A&A*, 546, A43, 19. [5] Cyr et al. 1999 *JGR* 104, E8, 19003-19014. [6] Stepinski & Valageas 1996 *A&A* 309, 301-31. [7] Supulver & Lin 2000 *Icarus* 146, 2, 525-540. [8] Stevenson & Lunine 1988 *Icarus* 75, 146-155. [9] Ciesla & Cuzzi 2006 *Icarus* 181, 1, 178-204. [10] Hueso & Guillot 2005 *A&A* 442, 2, 703-725

