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The Moon’s Thin Atmosphere and Dust Shroud

**Atmosphere:**
The Moon’s tenuous atmosphere comes from many sources. Argon, Helium leak from Interior. H, He & Ne from Sun (solar wind).

**Dust:**
The Moon’s perpetual dust shroud comes from interplanetary particles bombarding the surface. Ejecta from those impacts is continually lofted.

Many other species come from the Moon’s surface: H, H₂, Al, Na, K, OH, H₂O, CO…
A Dusty Atmosphere?
LDEX Observations
LDEX: Exospheric Dust


- LDEX saw ~ 1 grain impact/min
- Impact rate of different sizes reveals power law distribution
- Increases seen at known meteoroid streams (e.g., Geminids)
- At least one unknown ‘stream’ identified.
Dust Density vs. Altitude & LT


- Dust densities clearly maximize on morning (upstream) side of Moon
- Densities greatest at low altitudes
Dust Density vs. Altitude


- Density appears to level off at lowest altitudes
- Ejecta depart from assumed power-law speed distribution with a single sharp cut-off minimum speed $u_0$ needs revision for speeds below about 400 m/s.
- At higher speeds the distribution follows a simple power law
- Estimated dust exosphere mass: $\sim 120$ kg.

Customary ejecta speed power law prediction
Search for “Levitated” Dust

- Small < 0.1 µm grains
- LDEX measures current from such grains
- Densities < 100 m⁻³
- Even at lowest LADEE altitudes, no significant signal
- Other analyses provide lower upper limits
- This still leaves the Surveyor near-surface horizon glow unexplained.

Szalay and Horanyi, GRL, 2015

McCoy 1976 (Apollo), Glenar et al. 2011
Glenar et al. 2014 (Clementine)
Feldman et al. 2014 (LAMP)
The Lunar Exosphere: He, Ne and Ar Observations
Most helium in lunar exosphere comes from solar wind (thanks Artemis!)
Loss time scale ~4.5 days
Est. interior source of He: $2 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$


4He and 20Ne Follow Expected Barometric Distribution

- Neon confirmed!
- Follow exospheric equilibrium for noncondensable gases.
- $nT^{5/2} = \text{const.}$
- Scale height temperature follows surface temperature.
- Differences between He and Ne result from different ballistic length scales.

Benna et al., GRL, 2015
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Variability of $^{40}$Ar with Selenographic Longitude

- Persistent maximum over the western maria
- Procellarum KREEP Terrane
- Greatly enhanced in radiogenic species (incl $^{40}$K) at surface
- $^{40}$Ar escapes from liquidus, not solidus – at depth
- Additional meteoroidal impact vaporization source?

Benna et al., *GRL*, 2015
Long Term Variability of $^{40}$Ar with Time

- Initial increase in total $^{40}$Ar abundance, followed by decrease
- LACE (Apollo 17) observed similar long-term variation.
The Lunar Exosphere: Na and K
UVS: Sodium and Potassium
Colaprete et al., ESF, 2015

- Clear diurnal variations.
- Na decreases in magnetotail (no sputtering).
- Na increases abruptly after exit into solar wind.
- Both Na and K increase at Geminids
- Na long-term variation like $^{40}$Ar?
UVS: Na and K vs. Selenographic Longitude

Colaprete et al., ESF, 2015

- Na higher over maria.
- K higher over PKT.
- Subsolar longitudes for magnetotail exit also -30 to -45E
- Much more work being done on Na and K – modeling and analysis (Sarantos)
The Lunar Exosphere: 
H₂O, OH and Others
Closed Source H$_2$O observations

(see talk by Benna tomorrow)

- Abundance is based on average 5 min data at the beginning of each activity
- Instrument background low
- Exospheric H$_2$O is very sporadic, short-lived
- No obvious monthly variations
- Agrees with Chang’e 3 UV telescope limits on OH ($<10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$ column density)
Exospheric Ion Measurements Reveal Multiple Species

Halekas, et al., *GRL*, 2015

Mass 28 is ambiguous: however, based on significant carbon detection, CO\(^+\) is likely.

UVS: Post- minus Pre-Geminids

Initial comparison of Pre- and Post Geminids Dawn Limb Spectra
- Only spectra from S/C in shadow (lunar umbra), near-dawn local times.
- Dark current & instrument bias corrected, “hot pixels” removed.
- >100 spectra co-added to improve signal-to-noise ratio.
- O, OH, Ti, Fe, Al, Ca identified
Atmosphere:
The Moon’s tenuous atmosphere comes from many sources:

- H, He & Ne from Sun (solar wind)
- Argon, Helium leak from Interior
- Ejecta from interplanetary particles bombarding the surface

Dust:
The Moon’s perpetual dust shroud comes from interplanetary particles bombarding the surface.

Ejecta from those impacts is continually lofted:

- Many other species come from the Moon’s surface: H, H₂, Al, Na, K, OH, H₂O, CO...
Exploration:

- “Mostly harmless” – dust is very tenuous
- Did not/could not address “Surveyor horizon glow”
- No evidence of inimical compounds (except Hg!)
- Now have an idea of meteoroidal volatile input (e.g., sporadic H$_2$O - see talks by Benna et al, Hurley et al tomorrow)

Science:

- Molecular transport in Surface Boundary Exosphere (different species have different binding energies)
- What does leakage rate of He and $^{40}$Ar say about state of the lunar interior? (global seismic network)
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Initial LADEE Publications


Diurnal Variability of $^{40}\text{Ar}$

Apollo 17 -LACE data from Hodges and Hoffman, 1975
Dust Mass Production Fn


- During LADEE mission, peak is broad, centered on dawn
- But interplanetary mass flux changes with Earth-Moon orbit about sun
- Different months have different peak locations in LT.


Dust Density vs. LT


- During LADEE mission, peak is post-dawn
- Density appears to level off at lowest altitudes