

**SPECULATIONS ON ADAPTATIONS OF ANY LIFE ON VENUS, PAST TO EXTANT.** S.S. Limaye<sup>1</sup>, L. Rothschild<sup>2</sup>, R. Mogul<sup>3</sup>, J. Head<sup>4</sup>, and M.J. Way<sup>5</sup>, <sup>1</sup>University of Wisconsin (1225 W. Dayton St., Madison WI 53706, USA, sslimaye@wisc.edu), <sup>2</sup>NASA/Ames Research Center (Moffett Field, Mountain View, CA 94035, USA), <sup>3</sup>California State Polytechnic University (3801 West Temple Avenue, Pomona, CA 91768, USA), <sup>4</sup>Brown University (324 Brook Street, Providence, RI 02912, USA) <sup>5</sup>NASA/GISS (2880 Broadway, New York, NY 10025, USA).

**Introduction:** If life arose on Venus or was seeded in its ancient past when it presumably had liquid water on the surface until perhaps about a billion years ago [1-3], it has experienced considerable changes in environmental conditions. Little is known about the conditions on early Venus, but what has been inferred for Earth provides some speculative basis given their physical similarity, proximity to the Sun and past volcanic activity. The key conditions include surface temperature, pressure, atmospheric composition, geochemical make-up, presence of liquid water, global circulation, and the rotation state (rate and axial tilt) which control the day-night cycle over the planet and its atmosphere.

The present rotation states of Venus and Earth are quite different – Earth with a 23.5° axial tilt and a 23.9344696 hour sidereal day and Venus with 177° tilt and a  $243.0212 \pm 0.0006$  day [4] sidereal period. Small variations in this period on short term scales (< 10 years) have been detected [5, 6], likely as a result of the exchange of angular momentum with the atmosphere [7, 8] and larger, long term variations during any evolutionary adaptations over the eons may have occurred for Venus. Thus while the day and night at present are on average 12 hours each for Earth, the backward spin of Venus and an orbital period of 224.7 days results in day and night each about 58.35 Earth days long.

It is not known what the rotation rate of Venus was in the past. Tidal dissipation (solid body or oceanic) [12,19,20,22] and/or core-mantle friction (CMF) [10] can be remarkably efficient at slowing rotation rate, while CMF can also influence obliquity [10]. Investigations into the effect of atmospheric tides on Venus [9-11] suggest that regardless of the initial rotation period, Venus has arrived at the present slow, retrograde rotation state (see [21] for a review). If Earth is any indication, it is possible that the early rotation period of Venus was much shorter, perhaps similar to that of the early Archean Earth (<6 hours [18]). Earth's rotation period ~1 billion years ago when life originated on Earth was much closer to the present day period, due to the presence of the moon (18-21 hours [18]). Lacking a moon (presumably), the Venus rota-

tion rate could have remained short for a long period until a thick atmosphere evolved.

Any life, if present today, in the potentially habitable zone in the Venus clouds would have undergone numerous adaptations to survive in such environmental conditions, particularly if the current atmosphere is a product of post-tessera volcanic activity [1, 12], or alternatively is a “fossil” atmosphere, dating from the first 80% of the geological history of Venus [13]. It has been speculated [14, 15] that life could have migrated to the clouds and found a permanent habitable niche by the time the surface conditions became inhospitable.

One of these environmental conditions is the duration of the day–night cycle. Since much of Earth's productivity is traced to photosynthesis, such long periods of darkness would have a profound effect on such processes on the Venus surface, creating day/night durations comparable to the polar regions of the Earth. In addition, the day-night cycle on Earth is a trigger for the circadian clock among even the primitive unicellular to plants, fungi and animals (including humans) on Earth [16, 17]. Hence the question arises about the impact of a changing circadian clock on Venus.

For the speculated habitat in the clouds of Venus, adaptation to acidity and low water activity is necessary in addition to paucity of nutrients and a changing rotation period. There are terrestrial analogs to such adaptations and it is possible that similar adaptations could have happened on Venus as conditions changed and polyextremophiles survived. Did the day-night cycle duration help this process?

**Acknowledgments:** We thank Tetyana Milojevic and Jaime Cordova for useful discussions and comments. SSL was supported by NASA Grant NNX16AC79G.

#### References:

- [1] Way, M.J., et al., *Was Venus the first habitable world of our solar system?* Geophysical Research Letters, 2016. **43:** p. 8376-8383,

- [2] Höning, D., et al. *Early habitability and crustal decarbonation of a stagnant-lid Venus*. 2021. arXiv:2109.08756  
<https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2021arXiv210908756H>
- [3] Weller, M.B. and W.S. Kiefer, *The Physics of Changing Tectonic Regimes: Implications for the Temporal Evolution of Mantle Convection and the Thermal History of Venus*. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets)*, 2020. **125**: p. e05960, 10.1029/2019je005960
- [4] Campbell, B.A., et al., *The mean rotation rate of Venus from 29 years of Earth-based radar observations*. *Icarus*, 2019. **332**: p. 19-23, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2019.06.019>
- [5] Margot, J.-L., et al., *Spin state and moment of inertia of Venus*. *Nature Astronomy*, 2021. **5**(7): p. 676-683, 10.1038/s41550-021-01339-7
- [6] Mueller, N.T., et al., *Rotation period of Venus estimated from Venus Express VIRTIS images and Magellan altimetry*. *Icarus*, 2012. **217**(2): p. 474-483, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2011.09.026>
- [7] Navarro, T., et al., *Atmospheric mountain wave generation on Venus and its influence on the solid planet's rotation rate*. *Nature Geoscience*, 2018. **11**(7): p. 487-491, 10.1038/s41561-018-0157-x
- [8] Cottureau, L., et al., *The various contributions in Venus rotation rate and LOD*. *A&A*, 2011. **531**: p. A45,
- [9] Dobrovolskis, A.R., *Atmospheric tides and the rotation of Venus II. Spin evolution*. *Icarus*, 1980. **41**(1): p. 18-35, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035\(80\)90157-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(80)90157-8)
- [10] Correia, A.C.M. and J. Laskar, *The four final rotation states of Venus*. *Nature*, 2001. **411**(6839): p. 767-770, 10.1038/35081000
- [11] Auclair-Desrotour, P., et al. *The rotation of planets hosting atmospheric tides: from Venus to habitable super-earths*. ArXiv e-prints, 2016. **1611**, <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016arXiv161105678A>
- [12] Way, M.J. and A.D. Del Genio, *Venusian Habitable Climate Scenarios: Modeling Venus Through Time and Applications to Slowly Rotating Venus-Like Exoplanets*. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 2020. **125**(5): p. e2019JE006276, 10.1029/2019je006276
- [13] Autho, *Contributions of Volatiles to the Venus Atmosphere from the Observed Extrusive Volcanic Record: Implications for the History of the Venus Atmosphere*, 2021, <https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2021LPI....52.2143H>
- [14] Morowitz, H. and C. Sagan, *Life in the Clouds of Venus?* *Nature*, 1967. **215**(5107): p. 1259-1260, 10.1038/2151259a0
- [15] Limaye, S.S., et al., *Venus' Spectral Signatures and the Potential for Life in the Clouds*. *Astrobiology*, 2018. **18**(9): p. 1181-1198, 10.1089/ast.2017.1783
- [16] Johnson, C.H. and S.S. Golden, *Circadian Programs in Cyanobacteria: Adaptiveness and Mechanism*. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 1999. **53**(1): p. 389-409, 10.1146/annurev.micro.53.1.389
- [17] Clodong, S., et al., *Functioning and robustness of a bacterial circadian clock*. *Molecular Systems Biology*, 2007. **3**(1): p. 90, <https://doi.org/10.1038/msb4100128>
- [18] Spalding and Fisher 2019, *EPSL* 514, 28-36 doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2019.02.032
- [19] Goldreich and Peale 1970 *AJ* 75, 273. <https://doi.org/10.1086/110975>
- [20] Munk and MacDonald 1960 *The rotation of the Earth: A geophysical discussion*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [21] Hoolst 2015 *Treatise on geophysics* (2nd ed., pp. 121–151). <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53802-4.00168-8>
- [22] Green et al. 2019 *ApJL* 876 L22 <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab133b>