

USING CRATER SIZE FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTIONS TO RECONSTRUCT THE GEOLOGICAL HISTORY OF CRATERED PLANETARY SURFACES: A CASE STUDY ON MARS.

G. Wulf^{1*}, S. Hergarten¹ and T. Kenkmann¹, ¹Albert Ludwigs Universität, Freiburg, Germany, Institut für Geo- und Umweltnaturwissenschaften – Geologie; *gerwin.wulf@geologie.uni-freiburg.de

Introduction: The size frequency distribution (SFD) of impact craters has long been used to determine absolute ages for planetary surfaces [1, 2]. In the process, the observed crater size frequency distribution of a given surface unit is fitted on a known crater production function (PF), and the crater frequency for certain crater sizes is used together with a chronology function (CF) to obtain an absolute age [3]. On planetary bodies with active atmospheric and surface processes, the craters are affected by obliteration processes, such as weathering and erosion or subsequent deposition. Therefore, the measured age does not necessarily show the formation age of the unit but the so-called crater retention age (CRA), defined as the average time interval during which craters of diameter D are preserved on a given surface [4]. The preservation time of craters depend on its diameter/depth, leading to faster obliteration of smaller craters, so that the measured CRA for small craters might deviate from the CRA for larger craters. Thus, the crater inventory of a given region and the derived size frequency distribution not only contain information about the formation age but also about possible periods of erosion and/or coverage. Here we present (preliminary results of) a new approach to reconstruct erosion rates and the geological history of cratered planetary surfaces.

Methods: A software tool is developed that creates a theoretical SFD of a surface area on the base of the known crater production function (PF) and user-defined parameters, such as periods of deposition, erosion and preservation. The parameters can be adjusted iteratively to get a best possible fit between the actually measured SFD of a given surface and the created theoretical SFD. In this way, it is possible to simulate and validate a variety of possible geological scenarios for a specific region. To verify the functionality of the developed software tool a test region was selected that combines a long geological history with high grades of erosion and accumulation. The Medusae Fossae Formation (MFF) on Mars is an intensely eroded deposit of unknown origin near the northern edge of the cratered highlands, between 130-230°E and 15°S-15°N [5-7]. It is usually considered to be fine-grained, friable deposits of volcanic ash fall, ignimbrites or wind-deposited aeolian loess [8-10]. The formation of the MFF is often cited as Amazonian-aged [11], but there is evidence that at least the western part of the deposits are may be older (Hesperian) [12,13]. The chosen case study area is located in the northwestern Eumenides Dorsum area as part of the MFF and shows miscellaneous pedestal craters. This is a subclass of impact craters on Mars [14] characterized by a crater perched near the center of a pedestal (mesa or plateau) that is surrounded by an often circular, outward-facing scarp standing tens to over 100 m above the surrounding plains [15]. It is believed that pedestal craters build an erosion-resistant layer, shielding the underlying rocks from erosion [15-17], so that areas around the crater are deflated but the crater bowl and pedestal remain at their original elevations.

Results and discussion: In a first step, we measured the crater size-frequency distribution of two pedestal craters and the surrounding terrain, using the CraterTools extension for ArcGIS [18] and CTX images, to determine crater ages. By combining the elevation differences between the two pedestal heights (~54 m), using MOLA DTM data, with the age difference of the two pedestals (~53 Ma) it is possible to make a very rough estimation of an erosion rate of this area (~1.02 m/Ma). In a second step, a simplified geological history of this area is postulated and implemented into the developed software tool to get a theoretical SFD that fits the measured SFDs. As an example, assuming an original coverage of 250 m (Hesperian-aged) sediments on top of the today elevation level of the study area, followed by 5 stages of erosion and 4 stages of accumulation, leads to a crater record that displays the actually measured SFDs. In this case, the erosion rate of the surrounding terrain is 1.25 m/Ma for the last 250 Ma. In contrast, the erosion rate of the pedestal is 0.5 m/Ma for the same time due to an assumed higher resistance to erosion. The presented approach is a first and promising attempt to reconstruct the geological history of this region. In future work, the applicability of the software tool will be improved to verify in a fast and efficient way how planetary surfaces with significant erosion have been evolved.

References: [1] Neukum G. et al. (2001) Space Science Reviews, 96:55–86. [2] Hartmann W. K. (2005) Icarus, 174:294–320 [3] Michael G. G. and Neukum G. (2010) Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 294:223-229. [4] Hartmann W. K. (1966) Icarus 5:565–576. [5] Scott D. H. and Tanaka K. L. (1986) USGS Map I-1802-A, scale 1:15,000,000. [6] Greeley R. and Guest J. E. (1987) USGS Map I-1802-B, scale 1:15,000,000. [7] Zimbelman J. R. and Griffin R. J. (2010) Icarus 205:198. [8] Scott D. H. and Tanaka K. L. (1982) JGR 87:1179-1190. [9] Mandt K. E. et al. (2008) JGR 113:E12011. [10] Kerber L. et al. (2011) Icarus 219:358-381. [11] Tanaka K. L. et al. (2005) USGS map 2888. [12] Kerber L. and Head J. (2010) Icarus 206:669. [13] Zimbelman J. and Scheidt S. (2012) Science 336:1683. [14] Barlow N. G. et al. (2000) J. Geophys. Res. 105(E11):26733-26738. [15] Kadish S. J. et al. (2009) J. Geophys. Res. 114:E10001. [16] Wrobel K. E. et al. (2006) MAPS 41:1539–1550. [17] Barlow N. G. (2006) MAPS 41:1425–1436. [18] Kneissl T. et al. (2011) Planetary and Space Science 59:1243–1254.