

U–Th–Pb SYSTEMATICS IN ZIRCON AND APATITE FROM THE CHICXULUB CRATER, MEXICO

David A. Kring^{1,2}, Barry J. Shaulis^{1,2}, Martin Schmieder^{1,2}, and Thomas J. Lapen^{2,3}

¹LPI, 3600 Bay Area Blvd, Houston, TX 77058, USA. ²NASA–SSERVI. E-mail: kring@lpi.usra.edu.

³Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77004, USA.

Introduction: The Chicxulub crater, a buried ~180 km-diameter and ~66.04 million year-old peak-ring basin, is the largest Phanerozoic impact structure on Earth and has been convincingly linked to the K/T boundary mass extinction [1–3]. Impactites have been recovered from several boreholes, among which are a petroleum exploration well Yucatán-6 (Y-6, ~50 km radial distance from the crater center, depth 1,645m) and a scientific well Yaxcopoil-1 (Yax-1, ~65 km radial distance, depth 1,511 m). IODP–ICDP drilling into the peak ring is currently underway in hole Chicx-03B (~45 km radial distance, targeted depth 1,500 m). Affected by a long-lived (up to ~2 Myr) impact-induced hydrothermal system [4], rocks inside the Chicxulub crater are to variable degrees altered [5–8]. Previous U–Pb dating of zircon extracted from crater-hosted impactites [9,10], distal ejecta [11–13], and nearby sedimentary basins [14] yielded the approximate ages of the Early Paleozoic metamorphic–crystalline crater basement of the Maya Block and the impact. We conducted new LA–ICP–MS analyses of zircon and apatite from the Yax-1 and Y-6 cores to test for U–Th–Pb signatures potentially related to the hydrothermal system inside the Chicxulub crater.

Samples and Analysis: LA–ICP–MS analyses used a Varian 810 quadrupole system coupled to a Photon Machines Analyte 193 nm laser (25/50 μm spot size, 30 sec on sample after 14 sec of blank) using the Plešovice and FC5Z zircon standards, Madagascar and Bear Lake apatite standards, and NIST-612 glass [15]. We characterized and analyzed (1) shocked zircon [16,17] ($n=10$ spots on 7 grains ~20–70 μm in size) in a thin section of Yax-1_832.83 from the central unit 3, a brown impact melt breccia, at a depth of 833 m; (2) F–Cl–apatite ($n=16$ spots in 3 larger crystals ~400–1,000 μm in size) within a mafic clast in a sample of Yax-1_872.32 from unit 5, a green impact melt, at a depth of 872 m [7,18]; and (3) F–apatite ($n=7$ spots in 7 grains ~44–86 μm in size) in a thin section of Y6-N14-4 from the middle suevite unit at a depth of 1,208–1,211 m in core Y-6 [19].

Results: LA–ICP–MS analysis of the Yax-1_832.83 zircon (73–5,189 ppm U; 31–8,521 ppm Th; U/Th=1.71±0.63) yielded a weakly robust array of ages (MSWD=3.0; $P=0.003$), with an upper intercept age of 478±110 Ma, in line with ages for the Maya Block [9–13], and a poorly defined lower intercept age of -11±460 Ma. Noticeable common Pb and/or low concentrations of radiogenic Pb are indicated by a rather low $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ ratio of ~44–1,200 (although one zircon yielded ≤63,000). No meaningful ages were obtained for either apatite sample. Yax-1_872.32 apatite has $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ of ~9.7–44 and exhibits some Th zoning, with 4.2–34 ppm Th, 4.2–5.6 ppm U, and U/Th=0.9±0.5 in cores, and 15.5–103 ppm Th, 2.2–5.7 ppm U, and U/Th ≤0.2 in rims. Y6-N14-4 apatite has a $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ of ~15.3–19.8, similar to impactite whole-rock values [10].

Discussion: $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ is low from crystal rims to cores, indicating common Pb is not a sample surface contamination. Low $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ might be attributed to Pb-rich fluids in a rather long-lived, impact-triggered hydrothermal system inside the Chicxulub crater. The presence of secondary galena [5], as well as chalkopyrite and barite [7] (both potential Pb carriers), in the Chicxulub impactites suggests Pb-laden fluids may have impregnated the initially permeable impact breccia [20]. However, $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ in shocked zircon in Colorado (72–3,586) [11]; Saskatchewan (400–5,896) [12]; Haiti (37–4,640) [9]; Italy (1,557); and Spain (124–3,858) [13], i.e., far outside the crater, show a $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ range that overlaps with that of the Yax-1 zircon. Although fluid-grown low-temperature zircon can incorporate larger amounts of Pb [21], Pb diffusion in non-metamict, inherited zircon crystals ≥20 μm in size over ~1 Myr requires temperatures >700°C [22], exceeding those of the hydrothermal system, although granular zircon aggregates may support diffusion at lower temperatures [17]. Closure temperatures for Pb diffusion in apatite ~20–1,000 μm in size are ≥400°C for variable cooling rates [23]. A hydrothermal (or diagenetic), low-temperature U–Th–Pb fingerprint in the Yax-1 and Y-6 zircon and apatite, therefore, remains unclear.

References: [1] Kring D. A. 2005. *Chemie der Erde* 65:1–46. [2] Schulte P. et al. 2010. *Science* 327:1214–1218. [3] Renne P. R. et al. 2013. *Science* 339:684–687. [4] Abramov O. and Kring D. A. 2007. *MAPS* 42:93–112. [5] Ames D. E. et al. 2004. *MAPS* 39:1145–1167. [6] Hecht L. et al. 2004. *MAPS* 39:1169–1186. [7] Zürcher L. and Kring D. A. 2004. *MAPS* 39:1199–1221. [8] Nelson M. J. et al. 2012. *GCA* 86:1–20. [9] Krogh T. E. et al. 1993. *Nature* 366:731–734. [10] Keppie J. D. et al. 2011. *Int. Geol. Rev.* 53:1180–1193. [11] Krogh T. E. et al. 1993. *EPSL* 119:425–429. [12] Kamo S. L. and Krogh T. E. 1995. *Geology* 23:281–284. [13] Kamo S. L. et al. 2011. *EPSL* 310:401–408. [14] Gleason J. D. et al. 2007. *J. Sed. Res.* 77:888–900. [15] Shaulis B. J. et al. 2010. *G³* 11, DOI: 10.1029/2010GC003198. [16] Wittmann A. et al. 2006. *MAPS* 41:433–454. [17] Schmieder M. et al. 2015. *GCA* 161:71–100. [18] Kring D. A. et al. 2004. *MAPS* 39:879–897. [19] Claeys Ph. et al. 2003. *MAPS* 38:1299–1317. [20] Mayr S. I. et al. 2008. *Int. J. Earth Sci.* 97:385–399. [21] Watson E. B. et al. 1997. *Chem. Geol.* 141:19–31. [22] Cherniak D. J. and Watson B. E. 2001. *Chem. Geol.* 172:5–24. [23] Cherniak D. J. et al. 1991. *GCA* 55:1663–1673.