

### SIMS Al-Mg CHRONOLOGY OF CR CHONDRITE CHONDRULES: LINKS WITH Mg# AND O ISOTOPES

T. J. Tenner<sup>1</sup>, D. Nakashima<sup>2</sup>, T. Ushikubo<sup>3</sup>, M. K. Weisberg<sup>4,5</sup>, and N. T. Kita<sup>1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Department of Geoscience, University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA. (tenner@wisc.edu). <sup>2</sup>Division of Earth and Planetary Materials Science, Tohoku University, Miyagi, Japan. <sup>3</sup>Institute for Core Sample Research, JAMSTEC, Kochi, Japan. <sup>4</sup>Kingsborough Community College and Graduate Center, CUNY, USA, <sup>5</sup>American Museum of Natural History, NY, USA

**Introduction:** Assuming homogeneous distribution of early Solar System <sup>26</sup>Al, the decay of parent <sup>26</sup>Al to daughter <sup>26</sup>Mg (half-life: 0.705 Myr) is a measure of the relative timing of chondrule formation. Chondrule (<sup>26</sup>Al/<sup>27</sup>Al)<sub>0</sub> ratios, established from mineral isochrons, are anchored to the “canonical” (<sup>26</sup>Al/<sup>27</sup>Al)<sub>0</sub> of primitive CAIs (5.2 × 10<sup>-5</sup>; [1]). While pristine LL, CO, and Acfer 094 chondrule (<sup>26</sup>Al/<sup>27</sup>Al)<sub>0</sub> values correspond to formation 1.5-3 Myr after CAIs [2 & references within], the (<sup>26</sup>Al/<sup>27</sup>Al)<sub>0</sub> range from pristine CR chondrite chondrules is larger; many suggest formation >1 Myr after LL, CO, and Acfer 094 chondrules [2-4]. Here, we report Al-Mg systematics from 12 pristine CR chondrite chondrules, demonstrating links between (<sup>26</sup>Al/<sup>27</sup>Al)<sub>0</sub>, Mg# (mol. % MgO/[MgO+FeO]), and O-isotopes.

**Samples, Methods:** Chondrules from QUE 99177,49 (*n*: 9) and MET 00426,46 (*n*: 3) were analyzed by SIMS, using methods in [5]. For plagioclase Mg three-isotopes were collected by a peak switching mono-collection electron multiplier. The primary O<sup>-</sup> beam intensity was 30-60 pA (5-10 μm spot). Uncertainty in δ<sup>26</sup>Mg\* was ~1‰ (2SE) per 3 hour analysis (*n*: 4-6 spots per chondrule). All plagioclase is clean (no additional reaction phases from thermal metamorphism). For olivine and pyroxene <sup>24</sup>Mg<sup>+</sup>, <sup>25</sup>Mg<sup>+</sup>, <sup>26</sup>Mg<sup>+</sup> and <sup>27</sup>Al<sup>+</sup> were measured simultaneously on 4 Faraday cups; the primary O<sup>-</sup> beam intensity was 3 nA (15 μm spot); uncertainty in δ<sup>26</sup>Mg\* was ~0.1‰ (2SE) per 8 minute analysis (*n*: 1-4 spots per chondrule). Chondrule Mg#'s range from 99.2 to 94.2. Chondrule O-isotopes plot on the slope-1 PCM line. Δ<sup>17</sup>O (= δ<sup>17</sup>O - 0.52 × δ<sup>18</sup>O) values systematically increase, from -5.3‰ to -0.9‰, with decreasing chondrule Mg# [6].

**Results, Discussion:** Five chondrules have resolvable excess <sup>26</sup>Mg, with (<sup>26</sup>Al/<sup>27</sup>Al)<sub>0</sub> of (3.9±1.7) × 10<sup>-6</sup> to (6.2±3.9) × 10<sup>-6</sup>. Including uncertainties, this corresponds to formation 1.7 to 3.3 Myr after CAIs, similar to chondrules from other pristine chondrites (see Intro.). These chondrules have Mg#'s > 99 and Δ<sup>17</sup>O of -4.2‰ to -5.3‰. Seven chondrules have no resolvable excess <sup>26</sup>Mg; this result is not due to thermal metamorphism. Their (<sup>26</sup>Al/<sup>27</sup>Al)<sub>0</sub> upper limits correspond to formation >2.9 to >3.7 Myr after CAIs. Six of these chondrules have Mg#'s of 98.6 to 94.2 and Δ<sup>17</sup>O of -2.8‰ to -0.9‰; the seventh has an Mg# of 98.7 and a Δ<sup>17</sup>O of -4.9‰. The decrease in chondrule Mg# with increasing Δ<sup>17</sup>O suggests addition of oxidized <sup>16</sup>O-poor H<sub>2</sub>O to chondrule precursors [6]. As such, differences in the formation timing of these chondrules, related to Mg# and Δ<sup>17</sup>O, are linked to temporal and/or spatial fluxes of protoplanetary disk H<sub>2</sub>O.

**References:** [1] MacPherson G.J. et al. 2012. *Earth & Planetary Science Letters* 331-332:43-54. [2] Kita N.T. & Ushikubo T. 2012. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 47:1108-1119. [3] Hutchison I.D. et al. 2009. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 73: 5080-5099. [4] Nagashima K. et al. 2014. *Geochemical Journal* 48: 561-570. [5] Ushikubo T. et al. 2013. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 109: 280-295. [6] Tenner T.J. et al. 2015. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 148: 228-250.