

A DEVIL IN THE DETAILS: MATRIX-DEPENDENT $^{40}\text{Ca}^{42}\text{Ca}^{++}/^{42}\text{Ca}^+$ AND ITS EFFECTS ON ESTIMATES OF THE INITIAL $^{41}\text{Ca}/^{40}\text{Ca}$ IN THE SOLAR SYSTEM.

K. D. McKeegan and M.-C. Liu, Dept. of Earth, Planetary and Space Sciences, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA. 90095, USA.

The first hints of the former presence of the short-lived radionuclide ^{41}Ca ($t_{1/2} = 0.1$ Ma) in CAIs were found by Huneke et al. at Caltech in 1981 [1]. Soon after, Ian Hutcheon piloted the PANURGE ion probe (ims-3f) and careful analyses confirmed that excesses of ^{41}K ($= ^{41}\text{K}^*$) were spatially correlated with the Ca/K ratio in pyroxene and plagioclase from two Allende CAIs that implied an initial $^{41}\text{Ca}/^{40}\text{Ca} \sim 5 \times 10^{-8}$ at isotopic closure [2]. Possibilities for the development of dual Ca-K and Al-Mg chronologies and attendant constraints on the timescales of injection of fresh nucleosynthetic matter into the early solar system were immediately recognized [2, hereafter HAW84].

Although still in the very early days of SIMS, HAW were fully cognizant of the difficulties of recognizing and correcting for molecular ion interferences in the mass spectrum, a problem which can be severe when count rates of the isotope of interest are exceedingly low as they are for K^+ in CAIs where typically $[\text{K}] < 200$ ppb. Although singly charged molecular ions comprised of abundant lighter elements are easily separated at moderately high mass resolution, the doubly charged dimer $^{40}\text{Ca}^{42}\text{Ca}^{++}$ is unresolvable from $^{41}\text{K}^+$ at any available mass resolution (then or now). This interference is particularly pernicious because its magnitude relative to ^{41}K correlates with the Ca/K ratio, thus failure to accurately correct for it will appear as $^{41}\text{K}^*$ attributable to the extinct parent isotope, ^{41}Ca .

The first quantitative evaluation of the fraction of $^{40}\text{Ca}^{42}\text{Ca}^{++}$ in the total signal at mass 41 was performed on a terrestrial calcite ($[\text{K}] < 50$ ppb) by HAW84. By assuming the yield of $^{40}\text{Ca}^{42}\text{Ca}^{++}/^{42}\text{Ca}^+$ from calcite is the same as that from fassaite, the data seemed to confirm the previous hint of live ^{41}Ca . However, Hutcheon was not satisfied and further investigation soon revealed that the yield of doubly-charged species is strongly matrix dependent: the ratio of $^{40}\text{Ca}^{43}\text{Ca}^{++}$ to $^{43}\text{Ca}^+$ (approximately equal to $^{40}\text{Ca}^{42}\text{Ca}^{++}$ to $^{42}\text{Ca}^+$) in fassaite is 10 times that in calcite [3]. Applying the yield from calcite to fassaite led to an undercorrection for the magnitude of $^{40}\text{Ca}^{42}\text{Ca}^{++}$ at mass 41 and thus an overestimation of initial $^{41}\text{Ca}/^{40}\text{Ca}$. With proper corrections for $^{40}\text{Ca}^{42}\text{Ca}^{++}$, HAW84b [3] revised the initial $^{41}\text{Ca}/^{40}\text{Ca}$ value down to $(8 \pm 3) \times 10^{-9}$ with Hutcheon's admonition to SIMS practitioners to "beware of the double-cross".

That $^{40}\text{Ca}^{42}\text{Ca}^{++}/^{42}\text{Ca}^+$ strongly depends on the matrix has been confirmed by later studies [4] including those with large-radius SIMS instruments [5,6]. The higher throughput of ims 1270/1280 SIMS enables the measurement of $^{40}\text{Ca}^{43}\text{Ca}^{++}/^{43}\text{Ca}^+$ for every spot analyzed in meteoritic samples [5,6], as opposed to stand-alone characterizations of this ratio on terrestrial standards [3,4]. The more robust determination of the $^{40}\text{Ca}^{42}\text{Ca}^{++}$ interference has helped improve the accuracy of $^{41}\text{K}^*$ estimates, as matrix effects between terrestrial standards and phases in CAIs have been quantified [5,6]. Data on various refractory phases yield inferred $^{41}\text{Ca}/^{40}\text{Ca} = 4 \times 10^{-9}$ which is the best representative of the initial $^{41}\text{Ca}/^{40}\text{Ca}$ value in the Solar System [5,6] and is within error of that found by Hutcheon and colleagues 31 years ago.

Ref: [1] Huneke et al. 1981, LPSC XXII, 381. [2] Hutcheon et al. 1984, LPSC XXIV, 387. [3] Hutcheon et al. 1984, MAPS, 19, 243. [4] Srinivasan et al. 1996, GCA, 60, 1823. [5] Ito et al. 2006, MAPS, 41, 1871. [6] Liu et al. 2012, ApJ, 761, 137.