

### HIGH-SPATIAL-RESOLUTION CHROMIUM ISOTOPIC MEASUREMENTS OF NANO-OXIDES FROM ORGUEIL.

L. R. Nittler<sup>1\*</sup>, J. Wang<sup>1</sup>, C. M. O'D. Alexander<sup>1</sup>, and F. Hillion<sup>2</sup>.  
<sup>1</sup>Department of Terrestrial Magnetism, Carnegie Institution of Washington, Washington, DC 20015, USA. <sup>2</sup>Cameca, 92622 Gennevilliers, France. \*lnittler@ciw.edu

**Introduction:** Tiny (~100 nm) <sup>54</sup>Cr-rich “nano-oxide” grains of likely supernova origin have been previously reported in acid residues of the Orgueil meteorite [1,2]. A heterogeneous distribution of these grains in the early Solar System, perhaps related to size sorting and/or direct supernova injection into the protosolar disk, is a likely explanation for <sup>54</sup>Cr/<sup>52</sup>Cr variations observed at bulk meteorite scales. However, the grains are still poorly characterized, largely due to their small size, and exacerbated by the relatively poor spatial resolution (~400-800 nm) of the duoplasmatron O<sup>-</sup> ion source used for the prior NanoSIMS studies. Since the beam size was much larger than the analyzed grains, measured anomalies (<sup>54</sup>Cr/<sup>52</sup>Cr up to 2.5 times solar) were lower limits due to contributions of signals from neighboring grains on the sample mounts. Moreover, while O isotopic ratios could be highly diagnostic of the nucleosynthetic origins of the grains, such data are not yet available for any <sup>54</sup>Cr-rich grains. We previously reported the use of a ~100-nm Cs<sup>+</sup> beam to simultaneously measure O and Cr isotopes in Orgueil nano-oxides, detecting Cr as CrO<sup>-</sup> [3] However, our initial report of extreme <sup>54</sup>Cr anomalies by this technique was found to be spurious, due to an unrecognized <sup>35</sup>Cl<sub>2</sub> interference on <sup>54</sup>Cr<sup>16</sup>O. Here we report additional searches for <sup>54</sup>Cr-rich grains in Orgueil, using both the Cs<sup>+</sup>/CrO<sup>-</sup> method and a new high-brightness O<sup>-</sup> ion source that allows for NanoSIMS Cr-isotope measurements with 100-nm resolution.

We used the Carnegie NanoSIMS 50L with the methodology described in [3] to map several tens of thousands of μm<sup>2</sup> of the same crowded Orgueil acid residue mount studied by [2,3] in O and Cr isotopes, with the addition of <sup>35</sup>Cl to the mass list to monitor a possible Cl interference. In addition, we performed preliminary measurements with the new Hyperion RF plasma ion source (Oregon Physics) installed on a NanoSIMS 50L at Cameca. A 100-nm, 4-pA O<sup>-</sup> beam was scanned over 19 15×15 μm areas with simultaneous detection of <sup>27</sup>Al<sup>+</sup>, <sup>52,53,54</sup>Cr<sup>+</sup> and <sup>56</sup>Fe<sup>+</sup> ion images. For both sets of measurements, we corrected for the Fe contributions to the <sup>54</sup>CrO or <sup>54</sup>Cr signals.

The Cs<sup>+</sup> measurements identified numerous O-anomalous grains [4], but no unambiguously <sup>54</sup>Cr-rich grains. In contrast, the high-resolution O<sup>-</sup> measurements identified a 250-nm grain with a measured anomaly of δ<sup>54</sup>Cr ~400±40‰ (and normal δ<sup>53</sup>Cr=6±14‰). However, this grain is sitting in a pile and is thus subject to severe isotope dilution; we estimate that its true δ<sup>54</sup>Cr is at least 1500‰. This grain would not have been identified with a duoplasmatron source. Comparison of the Cs<sup>+</sup> and O<sup>-</sup> data indicates that the useful yield for Cr isotopes by the latter method is a factor of 5-10 times higher than that for the former. This may explain the lack of anomalous grains identified by the Cs<sup>+</sup> method. This study demonstrates that the high-resolution NanoSIMS measurements enabled by the Hyperion source have great scientific potential for presolar grain studies.

**References:**[1] Dauphas N., et al. 2010. *Astrophysical Journal*, 720: 1577-1591.[2] Qin L., et al. 2011. *Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta*, 75: 629-644. [3] Nittler L. R., et al. 2012. Abstract #2442. 43d Lunar and Planetary Science Conf. [4] Nittler L. R., et al. this volume.