APPLYING A LARGE IGNEOUS PROVINCE (LIP) CONTEXT FOR VOLCANISM, TECTONICS AND ATMOSPHERIC EVOLUTION ON VENUS. R.E. Ernst^{1,2}, K.L. Buchan³, H. El Bilali², J.W. Head⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; richard.ernst@ernstgeosciences.com; hafidaelbilali@cunet.carleton.ca, ²Faculty of Geology and Geography, Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia ³273 Fifth Ave., Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, ⁴Department of Earth, Environmental and Planetary Sciences, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912, U.S.A.

Introduction: Large volcanic, magmatic and tectonic centers on Venus may be analogous to Large Igneous Provinces (LIPs) on Earth [1-3]. We consider how the basic terrestrial LIP paradigm can be applied to the Venus geological record to provide insights into the origin and evolution of magmatic and tectonic features, and the evolution of the Venus atmosphere over time, through input of CO₂ and SO₂ from LIP-style volcanism.

Grouping Magmatic Units and Tectonic Aspects into LIPs: On Earth, each LIP event comprises multiple magmatic units (flows, dykes, sills, intrusions, magmatic underplate) and tectonic features (uplift, associated rifting). Different LIP events (with distinct ages) can spatially overlap. For Venus we are assessing strategies to group units into discrete events, using stratigraphic and cross-cutting relationships [4] in the absence of an absolute geochronology.

Graben-Fissure Systems as the Surface Expression of Underlying Dyke Swarms: An important consideration is whether radiating and circumferential graben-fissure systems on Venus overlie radiating and circumferential dyke swarms, or are tectonic in nature, related to uplift, or both. Answering this question will help establish whether or not coronae and novae on Venus are analogues to giant circumferential and radiating dyke swarms on Earth (cf. Fig. 1; [5]). A related question is how to best distinguish graben overlying dykes from purely extension graben in Venus rift systems.

Link to Mantle Plumes: Terrestrial LIPs can be subdivided into three magmato-tectonic regions that are useful for potential application to Venus: 1) the plume centre, 2) a broader plume head region, and 3) a beyond-plume head region. Each has a distinctive magmatic and tectonic expression.

Plume head size on Venus (like on Earth) can be assessed from the distance at which a radiating swarm transitions outward to a linear trend controlled by a regional stress field [6]. The maximum size of a circumferential swarm could also mark the outer boundary of the plume head [5].

The characteristics of terrestrial plume tail volcanism, including smaller volume pulses and shorter dyke swarms, represent criteria for testing for plume tail magmatism on Venus.

Given the absence of plate tectonics [7], Venus provides an opportunity to assess the natural size distribution of mantle plumes based on the sizes of radiating and circumferential swarms, uncoupled from any influence by plate tectonics.

Triple Junction Rifting: On Earth plume/LIP events are often associated with triple junction rifting [3, 8]. There is the opportunity for a systematic comparison of such rifting on Earth (prior to the ocean opening stage) with the extensive triple junction rift systems on Venus that are associated with major plume-related magmatic centres [9-12].

Locating Magma Reservoirs: On Earth, erosion and geophysical data reveal the distribution of magma reservoirs of LIP magmatic plumbing systems [5, 13]. On Venus (given the absence of erosion), we can assess evidence for the presence of magma reservoirs in at least three indirect ways: a) local uplifts, b) central depressions within coronae, which may indicate downsag caldera activity associated with expulsion of magma; and c) surface depressions which can be interpreted to mark roof collapse above sill-like and dyke-like layered intrusions [14].

Identifying Sources of Lava Flows: Terrestrial lava flows can be fed from fractures along the edge of a caldera, from lateral injection of radiating dykes intersecting surface topography and from circumferential dykes [15]. Given the absence of erosion, Venus provides a remarkable opportunity for linking specific lava flows to specific sources, thus yielding insight into the distribution of buffered vs unbuffered magma reservoirs [16] within an overall magmatic system.

Effect on Climate: Terrestrial LIPs are responsible for dramatic climate change and mass extinctions [17]. The proposed "Great Climate Transition" on Venus, from habitable conditions to present day hyper-warm temperatures with high CO₂ [18-20], may be associated with stochastic overlap of multiple LIPs [21].

The post-climate change atmosphere of Venus will have continually received additional input of CO₂ and SO₂ from LIP-style basaltic volcanism. This contribution will come from different sources including the older mafic plains (planitia) and the younger plume-related magmatic centres [4], such as Atla Regio, which are likely currently active [22, 23]. Detailed lava flow

and graben (dyke) mapping of both planitia and younger volcanic centres, and determination of relative ages from cross-cutting relationships [4] can constrain the volume vs time release of CO₂ and SO₂. This data can provide parameters for improved modelling of the evolution of the atmosphere on Venus. and for testing the model of the presence of an earlier Earth-like atmosphere and the hypothesis of a "Great Climate Transition" to today's observed decidedly "un-Earth-like" atmosphere.

References: [1] Head, J.W. and Coffin, M. (1997). In: AGU GM, 100, 411. [2] Hansen, V. (2007) Chem. Geol., 241, 354. [3] Ernst, R.E. (2014) Large Igneous Provinces. Cambridge U. Press. [4] Ivanov, M. and Head, J.W. (2011) PSS, 59, 1559. [5] Buchan, K.L. and Ernst, R.E. (2021) Gond. Res., 100, 25-43. [6] Ernst, R.E. and Buchan, K.L. (2001) GSA SP 352, 247-265. [7] Solomon, S.C., et al. (1992) JGR: Planets, 97.E8, 13199-13255. [8] Burke, K. and Dewey, J. (1973). J. Geol., 81, 406-433. [9] Basilevsky A. and Head J. W. (2008) Icarus, 192, 167-186. [10] Hamilton, V.E. and Stofan, E.R. (1996) Icarus, 121, 171-194. [11] Graff, J.R. et al. (2018) Icarus, 306, 122-138. [12] Guseva, E.N. (2019) Solar System Research, 53, 151-160. [13] Ernst, R.E. et al. (2019) JVGR, 384, 75. [14] MacLellan et al. (2021) ESR, 220: 103619. [15] El Bilali et al. (2021) LPSC #2529. [16] Parfitt, E.A. and Head, J.W. (1993) Earth Moon Planets, 61, 249-261. [17] Ernst et al. (ed.) (2021) AGU Geophys. Monograph 255. [18] Way et al. (2016) GRL, 43, 8376-8383. [19] Way and Del Genio (2020) JGR Planets, 125, e2019JE006276. [20] Khawja et al. (2020) Nat. Comm., 11, 5789. [21] Way et al. (2021) AGU Fall mtg. [22] El Bilali et al. (2021) Venera-D workshop. [23] Shalygin, E.V. et al. (2012) Planet Space Sci., 73, 294–301.




Figure 1. Comparison of radiating and circumferential dyke swarms on Earth and radiating and circumferential graben systems on Venus. After [5]