## BUILDING THE REFERENCE SMALL BODY POPULATION MODEL

Eva Lilly<sup>1</sup>, Tim Spahr<sup>2</sup>, Tommy Grav<sup>3</sup>, Joe Masiero<sup>4</sup>, Sarah Sonnett<sup>1</sup>, Amy Mainzer<sup>3</sup>, James Bauer<sup>5</sup>, Emily Kramer<sup>6</sup>, Yan Fernandez<sup>7</sup>, Charles Schambeau<sup>7</sup> and Ned Wright<sup>8</sup>,

<sup>1</sup> Planetary Science Institute, 1700 East Fort Lowell, Tucson, AZ 85719, 808-277-0831, elilly@psi.edu, <sup>2</sup> NEO Sciences, LLC, tspahr44@gmail.com, <sup>3</sup> University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, tgrav@arizona.edu, <sup>4</sup> Caltech/IPAC, Mail Code MR-100, Pasadena, CA 91125, jmasiero@ ipac.caltech.edu, <sup>5</sup> University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, gerbsb@astro.umd.edu, <sup>6</sup> Jet Propulsion Laboratory, 4800 Oak Grove Dr. Pasadena, CA 91109, emily.kramer@jpl.nasa.gov, <sup>7</sup> University of Central Florida, 4000 Central Florida Blvd, Orlando, FL, 32816, Yanga.Fernandez@ucf.edu, Charles.Schambeau@ucf.edu, <sup>8</sup> University of California, Los Angeles, 475 Portola Plaza, Los Angeles, CA 90095, wright@astro.ucla.edu

Introduction: An accurate population model of small Solar System objects is required to measure the performance of any observational survey, and it is essential to make consistent comparisons of the survey performance as engineering trades and component tests are conducted. With these goals, the Reference Small Body Population Model (RSBPM) is currently under development by the Near-Earth Object Surveyor (NEO Surveyor) team. The RSBPM will contain up-to-date information on the orbital elements, size- and absolute magnitude (H) distributions, and albedo distributions of most small Solar System objects (including comet populations). Because the RSBPM is a diameter-based model, both optical and infrared surveys can be compared on a consistent basis. Development of the RSBPM will be completed before the NEO Surveyor launch, and the finished product will be peer-reviewed to ensure accuracy. Here we will present the expected Near-Eart Object (NEO) and main belt asteroid (MBA) detection rates calculated using our NEO Surveyor simulator and the preliminary NEO and MBA RSBPM models.

## **Preliminary NEO and MBA models:**

RSBPM includes a diameter - debiased NEO model that will be based on the orbital element and H distributions [1] combined with diameter and albedo information from NEOWISE [2][3][4]. It will include a carefully debiased small end of the SFD distribution expected to be non-linear in the log-diameter space. Preliminary version of the RSBPM NEO model with objects larger than 140 m [2] is being currently tested in the NEO Surveyor Simulator. Our simulations show (Fig.1) that NEO Surveyor would reach ~83% potentially hazardous asteroids (PHAs) completeness after first 5 years of survey and ~92% PHAs completeness after 10 years, thus fulfilling the congressional mandate of finding > 90% of NEOs with D>140m. Our test survey included a ~38% NEO and ~42% PHA completeness reached by the ground-based optical surveys (excluding the LSST) at the start of the in-flight operations.

In addition to NEOs, which are the main focus of the NEO Surveyor, the RSBPM also includes MBAs. MBAs are of particular importance to modeling the survey performance because they outnumber the NEOs by orders of magnitude and can thus serve as a source of false linkages between real NEO detections. The Preliminary version of the RSBPM MBA model contains ~13 million MBAs with H-distribution from [5], and albedo, and beaming parameter distribution fits from [6][7], which were used to calculate the diameters. The future versions of model will also include 15 largest MBA families, Jupiter Trojans and other small body populations including comets.

**Acknowledgments:** NEO Surveyor is a project sponsored by NASA's Planetary Defense Coordination Office, a division of NASA's Planetary Science Directorate.

## **References:**

- [1] Granvik et al. (2018) Icarus 312, 181
- [2] Stokes et al. (2017) "Report of the Near-Earth Object Science Definition Team"

https://cneos.jpl.nasa.gov/doc/SDT report 2017.html

- [3] Bottke et al. (2002) Icarus 156, 399
- [4] Mainzer et al. (2011) ApJ 743, 156
- [5] Grav et al. (2011a) PASP 123, 423
- [6] Masiero et al. (2012) Apj 759, 5
- [7] Masiero et al. (2014) ApJ 791, 121