REGIONAL GEOLOGIC MAPPING OF THE OXIA PLANUM LANDING SITE FOR THE EXOMARS 2022 MISSION. E. Hauber¹, D. Tirsch¹, S. Adeli¹, S. Acktories¹, S. Steffens¹, A. Nass¹, and the ExoMars Rover Science Operations Working Group (RSOWG). ¹Institute of Planetary Research, German Aerospace Center (DLR), Rutherfordstr. 2, 12489 Berlin, Germany; Ernst.Hauber@dlr.de.

Introduction: The ExoMars mission will deploy a stationary surface platform and a rover in Oxia Planum (OP), a region in western Arabia Terra at the transition between the heavily cratered highlands of Mars and the ancient and filled impact basin, Chryse Planitia. This site was selected [1] because (i) landing is technically feasible and (ii) exposed phyllosilicate-bearing rocks offer access to the early history of the planet, when Mars is thought to have been most habitable [e.g., 2,3]. The primary science goal of ExoMars is to analyze the geology and geochemistry of the local environment with the aim of identifying potential biosignatures [3]. While the fundamental geologic characteristics of the landing site have been investigated before and during its selection process [4-7], detailed geologic or morphostratigraphic mapping is still missing. To fill this knowledge gap, two complementary mapping approaches were initiated by the ExoMars Rover Science Operations Working Group: (1) Local HiRISEscale mapping of the landing ellipse(s) area will be performed as a joint effort [8]. As the result of this activity, a total number of 116 individually mapped 1×1 km boxes will be assembled into a consistent map. (2) Regional mapping at ~CTX-scale [this study] will provide a more synoptic view of the wider landing site within OP, enabling the contextualization of the units within the stratigraphy of western Arabia Terra and Chryse Planitia, and a comparison to other sites with similar key geologic and physiographic characteristics. It is also expected that this map will serve as a reference throughout the mission and subsequent data analysis.

Mapping Area and Datasets: The mapping area is located between 16.5°N and 19.5°N, and 334°E to 338°E. The geodetic reference is provided by the HRSC quadrangles MC11E and MC11W [9] which are tied to the global MOLA geodetic model. The data sets used for mapping include HRSC, THEMIS IR (day and night), CTX, and CaSSIS. Mapping scale in a GIS environment is 1:100,000, which will result in a final printable map at a scale of 1:1M. The mapping follows established and newly developed guidelines for planetary geologic mapping [10-13].

Preliminary Results: The current state of mapping for selected units is shown in Fig. 1. Overall, the identified map units are very similar to those described by [6]: The spatially most widespread units are the phyllosilicate-bearing plains that are the prime ExoMars target (with distinctly enhanced THEMIS nighttime

temperatures when compared to its surroundings), a dark resistant unit of possibly volcanic or sedimentary origin, and a mantling unit that was likely emplaced by aeolian processes. Multiple channels of various morphology and degradation state as well as sedimentary fan-shaped deposits (with low nighttime temperatures) imply a diverse and possibly long-lived history of surface runoff, perhaps accompanied or replaced by groundwater processes such as sapping. Inverted landforms (channels, impact crater fills) are the result of intense erosion. Additional mapped features include tectonic structures such as wrinkle ridges and lobate scarps (delineating a basin-like depression in the central mapping area), remnant erosional buttes [14] that are predominantly located in the northwestern portion of the mapping area (i.e., towards Chryse Planitia), craters and their ejecta blankets, and fields of aeolian bedforms and secondary craters.

Comparison with Similar The phyllosilicate-bearing rocks in Oxia Planum are part of a circum-Chryse »belt« of surface materials displaying spectral evidence of aqueous alteration [15]. If there is indeed such a geologically coherent »bathtube « ring, the phyllosilicates at OP may be characteristic for a basinrelated setting of regional importance, rather than being unique to OP. In that case, hypotheses related to the origin of OP phyllosilicates may also be tested at other circum-Chryse locations. To enable such tests, we selected a »reference« site in northern Xanthe Terra $(\sim 11\pm 2^{\circ} \text{N}/316.5\pm 1^{\circ} \text{E})$ that exhibits several key characteristics of OP: Light-toned and fractured bedrock with a high nighttime IR brightness, nearby channels and sedimentary deposits (the Hypanis Valles and their terminal fans exhibiting low nighttime IR brightnesses [16-18]), and erosional remnant buttes towards Chryse. The combination and spatial pattern of these features is very similar to OP and suggests that a similar geologic evolution may have shaped both areas. First results of the investigation of northern Xanthe Terra are presented at this conference in a companion abstract [19].

Preliminary Conclusions: At the time of writing, the mapping is incomplete and only initial and limited conclusions can be drawn. Overall, the mapping confirms previous geologic analyses [5,6,20]. However, some features (e.g., contractional structures, channels, possible sapping landforms) need further attention as the may provide important constraints on the tectonic

and aqueous evolution of the ExoMars landing area. A comparison to a distant, but geologically similar site in northern Xanthe Terra may enable testing of hypotheses raised by the geologic mapping of Oxia Planum.

Acknowledgments: The data used in this study are available via the Planetary Data System (PDS) of NASA, the Planetary Science Archive (PSA) of ESA, and additional data repositories at DLR and the USGS.

References: [1] Loizeau, D. et al. (2019) LPS L, Abstract #2378. [2] Cockell, C.S. (2014) Astrobiology, 14, 182–203. [3] Vago, J. L. et al. (2017) Astrobiology, 17, 471–510. [4] Carter, J. et al., (2013) J. Geophys. Res., 118, 831-858. [5] Molina, A. et al. (2017) Icarus, 293, 27–44. [6] Quantin-Nataf, C. et al. (2020) Astrobiology (in press), doi: 10.1089/ast.2019.2191. [7] Mandon, L. et al. (2021) Astrobiology (in review).

[8] Sefton-Nash, E. et al. (2021) LPS LII, Abstract

#1947. [9] Gwinner, K. et al. (2016) Planet. Space Sci., 126, 93–138. [10] Skinner, J.A. (2017) LPS XLVIII, Abstract #2759. [11] Naß, A. et al. (2017) EPSC Abstracts, 11, EPSC2017-627-1. [12] Hauber, E. et al. (2019) Planetary Geologic Mapping, in: H. Hargitai (ed.), Planetary Cartography, pp. 105–145, Springer. [13] Massironi, M. et al. (2018) Geophys. Res. Abstracts, 20, EGU2018-18106. [14] McNeil, J. et al. (2020) EPSC Abstracts, 14, EPSC2020-216. [15] Carter, J. et al. (2019) Ninth Int. Conf. Mars, Abstract #6175. [16] Hauber, E. et al. (2009) Planet. Space Sci., 57, 944-957. [17] Fawdon et al. (2018) EPSL, 500, 225–241. [18] Adler, J. B. et al. (2019) *Icarus*, 319, 885–908. [19] Früh, T. et al. (2021) *LPS* LII, Abstract #1977. [20] Garcia-Arnay, Á. et al. (2019) LPS L, Abstract #2149.




Figure 1. Selected geological units and geomorphological features (note that mapping is still in progress at the time of writing (January 2021) - this is a preliminary version). 1-σ and 3-σ landing ellipse envelopes are marked. Geologic contacts in the center of the mapping area, in particular those between the phyllosilicate-bearing plains (mostly »light-toned plains«) and their surroundings (e.g., »ridged plains«), are subject to change.