

# Mars' Atmospheric Optical Depth from Mars Express HRSC and Mars Exploration Rovers – A Comparison



Oliver J. Stenzel, Nick M. Hoekzema and Wojciech J. Markiewicz  
Max Planck Institute for Solar System Research  
Justus-von-Liebig-Weg 3, 37077 Göttingen, Germany  
stenzel@mps.mpg.de, hoekzema@mps.mpg.de

## Introduction:

The Martian atmosphere is thin when compared to Earth or Venus but nevertheless it keeps dust and ice aerosols suspended over considerable time scales. On Mars aerosols and clouds invoke an optical depth in the visible that is commonly

in the range 0.3-1.0. Airborne dust also plays a vital role in the thermodynamics of the Martian atmosphere. Optical depth is a key quantity to assess atmospheric aerosol content for climate research and for the atmospheric correction of orbiter images.

From the surface, instruments on the MER and Curiosity rovers have accurately measured the optical depth in the




Figure 1: Limb view of Mars. This HRSC image from orbit 2648 shows different layers of aerosols in the Martian atmosphere. ESA/DLR/FU Berlin (G. Neukum)

visible. However, obviously these measurements only offer the optical depth at the exploration sites of the rovers.

From orbit, the optical depth can be measured with the so called "shadow-method". [1] used this method on images taken by Mars Express' High Resolution Stereo Camera (HRSC) and by MRO's HiRISE. They found that the shadow-method typically has an accuracy of  $\pm 8\text{-}15\%$ .

For this study we used the shadow-method to measure the atmospheric optical depth from several dozen HRSC orbiter images and then compared the results with rover measurements.

## Method:

### The shadow method.

The shadow method, as described by [1], estimates the optical depth from brightness differences between sunlit and shadowed regions in orbiter images of Mars:

$$\tau = C \frac{\mu_0 \mu}{\mu_0 + \mu} \ln \left( \frac{\Delta I}{F \frac{R_s}{\pi}} \right)$$

Where  $\tau$  is the optical depth,  $C$  is an empirical fitting factor,  $\mu$  is the cosine of the emission angle,  $\mu_0$  is the cosine of the incidence angle,  $F$  is the direct solar flux onto surface, and  $R_s$  is the surface albedo.

The shadow method needs well resolved shadows. This limits its use to regions that were observed while the sun was low in the sky. However, as a result of the orbital evolution of MEX, these



Figure 2: Example of shadow method use for optical depth derivation. An optical depth of  $\tau = 0.18 \pm 0.04$  is found.

days many HRSC images contain well resolved shadows. Nowadays there are many usable images and the number is still growing. For this study we used 77 HRSC images. Figure 2 shows an example of how shadows are selected.

### Rover Measurements.




Figure 3: Mars relief map showing the approximate positions of the rovers Opportunity and Spirit. Both are located close to the equator on opposite sides of the planet. Note that though Mars shows a very large range of surface altitude, the rovers altitudes are relatively close.

The Mars Exploration Rovers Spirit and Opportunity (have) measure(d) optical depth with the PANCAM instrument [2], [3]. We obtained these datasets from [4]. The rovers are close to the equator on opposite sides of Mars. They measure optical depth by pointing at the sun and then measuring the solar flux [2]. Most measurements are taken around noon.

### Data Processing.

The optical depth measurements from HRSC images and by the MERs usually deal with different locations and different times. The comparatively few cases of co-location were used to calibrate the shadow method [1]. To compare




Figure 6: Optical depth by HRSC shadow method retrieval over spatially interpolated rover data. Optical depth from rover measurements is extrapolated to surface altitude of HRSC observation site. A scale height of  $H = 11$  km was used. Only HRSC images taken during the late afternoon and evening were used.




Figure 7: Optical depth by HRSC shadow method retrieval over spatially interpolated rover data. Optical depth from rover measurements is extrapolated to surface altitude of HRSC observation site. A scale height of  $H = 11$  km was used. Only HRSC images taken during the morning were used.

other cases as well, inter- and extrapolation of time and location have to be made. At the rover site that means to interpolate opacity to HRSC observation times, to extrapolate to 0 m altitude, using a scale height of  $H_s = 11$  km. At the HRSC observation site (footprint), the optical depth had to be extrapolated to 0 m altitude. Subsequently, we spatially interpolated the rover data to the HRSC observation site.

### Results:

Figure 4 and 5 show optical depth from shadow method retrievals as a function of rover  $\tau$ . Figure 4 compares  $\tau$  directly. Fig. 5 shows the




Figure 4: Optical depth by HRSC shadow method retrieval over spatially interpolated rover data. No adjustments for differences in surface altitude were made.




Figure 5: Optical depth by HRSC shadow method retrieval over spatially interpolated rover data. Optical depth from rover measurements is extrapolated to surface altitude of HRSC observation site. A scale height of  $H = 11$  km was used.

extrapolated values at the 0 m reference height. To investigate, whether or not the time of day at which the HRSC observations were taken play a role we compared just the evening (Fig. 6) and the morning (Fig. 7) observations.

## Conclusions:

- We compared measurements of the optical depth by the MER rovers with measurements of the optical depth with the shadow method of regions that often were at large distances from the rovers.
- There is a clear relation between these measurements, even without correcting for surface altitude.
- If the optical depth is extrapolated to zero meters altitude, then the correlation further improves.
- So one can say, at least tentatively, that apart from some weather effects, optical depth is largely a global phenomenon.
- This is not only true for global dust storms but also in cases of generally low atmospheric opacity.

## Acknowledgements:

We thank HRSC Experiment Teams at DLR Berlin and Freie Universitaet Berlin and the Mars Express Project Teams at ESTEC and ESOC for their successful planning and acquisition of data as well as for making the processed data available.

We acknowledge the effort of the HRSC Co-Investigator Team members and their associates who have contributed to this investigation in the preparatory phase and in scientific discussions within the Teams.

## References:

- [1] Hoekzema N. M., M. Garcia-Comas, O.J. Stenzel, E.V. Petrova, N. Thomas, W.J. Markiewicz, K. Gwinner, H.U. Keller, W.A. Delamere (2011) Icarus, 214(2) 447-461. DOI: 10.1016/j.icarus.2011.06.009.
- [2] Lemmon M. T. et al. (2004) Science Vol. 306 no. 5702 pp. 1753-1756 DOI: 10.1126/science.1104474.
- [3] Bell III J. F. et al., (2004), Science Vol. 306 no. 5702 pp. 1703-1709, DOI: 10.1126/science.1105245.
- [4] Analyst's Notebook, <http://an.rsl.wustl.edu/>, Produced by NASA's PDS Geosciences Node at Washington University in St. Louis.