**COMPARISON OF LARGE AND MID-SIZE LUNAR CRATER DISTRIBUTIONS.** R. Z. Povilaitis<sup>1</sup>, M. S. Robinson<sup>1</sup>, L. R. Ostrach<sup>1</sup>, C. H. van der Bogert<sup>2</sup>, and H. Hiesinger<sup>2</sup>, <sup>1</sup>School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287 (rpovilaitis@ser.asu.edu), <sup>2</sup>Institut für Planetologie, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität, Münster, Germany.

**Introduction:** The rims of a total of 22,746 craters 5 to 20 km in diameter were digitized from a WAC Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter Camera (LROC) Wide Angle Camera (WAC) mosaic and WAC stereo derived shaded relief maps. A global areal crater density map was created from this crater database ([1] Fig. 1) and compared to a  $\geq$ 20 km diameter crater density map produced from Lunar Orbiter Laser Altimeter data [2]. Subtracting the 5-20 km diameter density map from the  $\geq$ 20 km density map revealed several regions with significant crater density differences.

**Crater Counts:** All craters between ~4 km and ~21 km in diameter (to ensure completeness) were digitized at a scale between 1:250,000 and 1:500,000 in ArcGIS. Basemaps used included: 1) a 100 m/pixel scale WAC monochrome (643 nm) mosaic with an average solar incidence of 60°, and 2) a 100 m/pixel LROC WAC Digital Elevation Model (GLD100 [3]) based shaded relief to help demarcate craters in shadowed regions at the poles and/or subdued craters. Craters outside the 5-20 km diameter range were not used in the creation of the global crater density map.

**Crater Density:** We determined areal crater density for each diameter range (5-20 km and  $\geq$ 20 km) independently using a moving neighborhood method with a radius of 500 km and an output cell size of 15 km. Density magnitude values for each map were divided into 10 equal-interval bins and reclassified with a ranking of 1 to 10 (1 being lowest density and 10 being highest). The resulting 5-20 km density map (Fig. 1) was subtracted from the  $\geq$ 20 km density map to produce a crater density difference map (Fig. 2). Output cell values of the difference map range from -4 to +5. Positive difference values represent a high density (red) of  $\geq$ 20 km craters relative to 5-20 km craters, and negative values represent low density (blue) of  $\geq$ 20 km craters relative to 5-20 km craters.

**Discussion:** The crater density ratio map allows the investigation of regional and global variations in the densities of mid- to large-scale craters, which provide information about both the ages and resurfacing history of the Moon. The crater density map (Fig. 1) represents a proxy for age, such that it can be used to separate younger (blue) mare units from older (red) highlands units, an aspect also noted by [2] for the density map of  $\geq$ 20 km diameter craters. Crater size-frequency distributions can be directly extracted for desired count areas from the data set. The ratio of the two density maps allows for the investigation of discrepancies be-


tween the two crater-size populations, which draws attention to anomalous regions of interest for more focused studies.

For example, the difference map shows a high density difference west of the Mare Australe region (50°S to 70°S, 15°E to 45°E) with a value of +5 (Fig. 3) due to an excess of larger craters relative to the 5-20 km population and/or a lack of 5-20 km craters. This +5 region encompasses an area of approximately  $1.5 \times 10^{\circ}$  $\text{km}^2$  and is surrounded by a roughly 6.4x10<sup>5</sup>  $\text{km}^2$  positive (+4) relative crater density region (40°S to 80°S, 16°W to 83°E). This area may be situated at sufficient distance from large resurfacing events (Imbrium, Orientale, and Schrödinger basins) to have retained a larger population of  $\geq 20$  km diameter craters. If this hypothesis is correct, the difference maps elucidate the efficiency of basin forming events to erase craters with diameters greater than 20 km. Furthermore, the +5 area lies in a low density region in regards to craters  $\geq 100$ km (the assumed minimum size necessary to produce  $\geq$ 5 km secondaries) (Fig. 3) which may indicate this region lacks unrecognized secondaries [4].


Two regions south of Mare Moscoviense exhibit a negative crater density difference of -3 (Fig. 4). The two regions (13°N to 28°N, 138°E to 145°E) and (11°N to 24°N, 147°E to 157°E) encompass areas of approximately  $5.1 \times 10^4$  km<sup>2</sup> and  $7.3 \times 10^4$  km<sup>2</sup>, respectively. Two other negative crater density difference (-3) regions east of Mare Moscoviense (10°N to 20°N, 164°E to 179°E) and (2°N to 17°N, 170°W to 159°W) encompass areas of approximately 7.8×10<sup>4</sup> km<sup>2</sup>, and 9.9×10<sup>4</sup> km<sup>2</sup>, respectively. Previously unrecognized secondaries may have contributed to an overabundance of smaller craters in these four regions in addition to obliteration of craters ≥20 km by Moscoviense, Freundlich-Sharanov, and Mendeleev basins.


**Outlook:** We are currently studying the anomalous regions in detail, by incorporating geological maps, possible secondaries, and new crater size-frequency distribution measurements with corresponding absolute model ages. These data will help support or refute the proposed effects responsible for the greatest differences shown in the difference maps.

**References:** [1] R. Z. Povilaitis et al. (2013), NLSI Lunar Science Forum [2] Head J.W. et al. (2010) *Science* 329, 1504-1507 [3] Scholten F. et al. (2012) *JGR* 117, E00H17 [4] McEwen A.S. and Bierhaus E.B. (2006) *Ann. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* 34, 535–567




## 0 1454 (craters/km ) normalized to 10 km





**Figure 2.** Density difference map (>20 km map minus the 5-20 km crater), allowing the comparison of the density of large lunar craters [1], with that of mid-size craters shown in Fig. 1.



**Figure 3.** Crater density map of craters  $\geq 100$  km with +4, +5, and -3 density difference regions dilineated.