

FIELD EXPLORATION AND LIFE DETECTION SAMPLING THROUGH PLANETARY ANALOGUE SAMPLING (FELDSPAR). A. M. Stockton^{1*}, E. S. Amador², M. L. Cable³, T. P. Cantrell,¹ N. Chaudry⁴, T. Cullen⁴, Z. A. Duca,¹ D. M. Gentry⁵, M. Jacobsen, J. Kirby,⁴ H. McCaig,³ G. Murukesan⁶, V. Rennie,⁷ E. Schwieterman², A. Stevens^{7,8}, G. K. Tan³, C. Yin⁹, D. Cullen⁴, and W. Geppert⁹.

¹Chemistry and Biochemistry, Georgia Institute of Technology, MoSE 1100K, 901 Atlantic Dr NW, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA, astockto@gatech.edu ²University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA ³NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA, USA ⁴Cranfield University, Cranfield, Bedfordshire, UK ⁵NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA, USA ⁶University of Turku, Finland ⁷University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK, ⁸The Open University, Milton Keynes, UK ⁹ Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden.

Introduction: Exploration missions to Mars rely on rovers to perform analyses over small sampling areas; however, landing sites for these missions are selected based on large-scale, remote data. The use of Earth analogue environments to estimate the multi-scale spatial distributions of key signatures of habitability can help ensure that instrument packages and sampling strategies meet mission science goals. A main goal of FELDSPAR is to conduct field operations analogous to Mars sample return in its science, operations, and technology, including landing site selection, in-field sampling location selection, remote or stand-off analysis, *in situ* analysis, and home laboratory (sample return) analysis [1].

Field Sites: Lava fields and volcanic regions are relevant analogues to Martian Landscapes [2] due to desiccation [3], low nutrient availability, [4] and temperature extremes [5]. Icelandic lava fields are especially suitable in that respect [2-5], and are relatively young and isolated from anthropogenic contamination [4]. Operationally, many Icelandic analogue sites are remote enough to require that field expeditions address several sampling constraints that are also faced by in robotic exploration, including *in situ* and sample return missions [1, 6].

The Fimmvörðuháls lava field was formed by a basaltic effusive eruption associated with the 2010 Eyjafjallajökull eruption. Mælifellssandur is a recently deglaciated plain to the north of the Myrdalsjökull glacier. Holuhraun was formed by 2014 fissure eruptions just north of the large Vatnajökull glacier. Dyngjúsandur is an alluvial plain kept barren by repeated mechanical weathering.

Sampling, assays and analyses: Informed by our 2013 expedition [7], we collected samples in nested triangular grids every order of magnitude from the 10 cm scale to the 1 km scale (as permitted by the size of the site). Satellite imagery is available for older sites, and for Mælifellssandur, Holuhraun, and Dyngjúsandur we obtained overhead imagery at 1 m to 200 m elevation. “PanCam-style” photographs were taken in the field by sampling personnel. In-field reflectance spectroscopy was also obtained with an ASD

spectrometer in Dyngjúsandur. All sites chosen were homogeneous in apparent color, morphology, moisture, grain size, and reflectance spectra at all scales greater than 10 cm.

Field lab assays were conducted to monitor microbial habitation, including ATP quantification, qPCR for fungal, bacterial, and archaeal DNA, and direct cell imaging using a nucleic acid stain and fluorescence microscopy. Home laboratory analyses include Raman spectroscopy and community sequencing.

Results: The ATP assay appeared to be significantly more sensitive to small changes in sampling location than qPCR or fluorescence microscopy. Bacterial and archaeal DNA content were more consistent at the smaller scales, but similarly variable to ATP across more distant sites. Conversely, cell counts and fungal DNA content had significant local variation but appeared relatively homogeneous over scales of > 1 km. ATP, bacterial DNA, and archaeal DNA content were relatively well correlated at many spatial scales.

Conclusions: While we have observed spatial variation at various scales and are beginning to observe how that variation fluctuates over time as biodiversity recovers after an eruption, we do not yet fully understand what parameters lead to the observed spatial variation. Home laboratory analyses will help us further understand the elemental and structural composition of the basaltic matrices, but further field analyses are vital for understanding how temperature, moisture, incident radiation, and other parameters influence the habitability of a microclimate. For more information follow us on Facebook @FELDSPARresearch

References: [1] Amador, E. S. *et al.* (2015) *Planet. Space Sci.*, 106 1-10. [2] Cockell, C. S. *et al.*, (2011) *Astrobio.*, 11 679-694; Cousins C. R. *et al.* (2013) *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 256, 61-77. [3] Allen, C. C. *et al.* (1981) *Icarus*, 45 347-369. [4] Bagshaw, E. A. *et al.*, (2011) *Astrobio.*, 11 651-664. [5] Farr, T. G., (2004) *Planet. Space Sci.*, 52 3-10. [6] Preston, L. J. & Dartnell, L. R. (2014) *Int. J. Astrobio.*, 13 81-89. [7] Gentry, *et al.*, (2017) *Astrobio.*, in press.